

**FY 2021**  
**FL-507 - Orlando/Orange, Osceola,  
Seminole Counties Continuum of Care**  
**1E2. Project Review and Selection Process**  
**Table of Contents**

**P. 1 Master Scorecard/Renewal**

**P. 9 Master Scorecard/ New and Bonus**

**P. 17 Master Scorecard/ DV**

**P. 28 Sample Project Scorecard Completed**

**P. 39 Project Scoring Final**

**Scoring Overview - Renewal**

As determined by the CoC Governance Board, community priority will be given to eligible projects in the following order: Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH), Rapid Rehousing (RRH), Youth-Specific Transitional Housing (TH) or Supportive Services Only (SSO) Renewals, Transitional Housing- Rapid Rehousing (TH-RRH), Essential System Supports Coordinated Entry System (CES) and Essential System Supports Homeless Management Information System (HMIS). All projects will be scored utilizing the following materials: Project application; HUD CoC APR; 2021 HIC; and HMIS System. APR information will be pulled for the following date range: **7/1/20- 6/30/21**

**Section A: Project Renewal Threshold Criteria (Section I of the Application)**

Eligibility Criteria	Yes	No
1. Projects must be in compliance with CoC Interim Rule and subsequent notices, including threshold requirements outlined in the 2021 Notice of Funding Opportunity		
2. Agreed to Participate in HMIS or if project is Domestic Violence or Human Trafficking project, must adhere with HMIS data and technical standards within a comparable database.		
3. Applicant has Active SAM registration with current information.		
4. Applicant has Valid DUNS number in application.		
5. Applicant has no Outstanding Delinquent Federal Debts- unless: (a) A negotiated repayment schedule is established and the repayment schedule is not delinquent, or (b) Other arrangements satisfactory to HUD are made before the award of funds by HUD.		
6. Applicant has no Debarments and/or Suspensions -or is proposed to be debarred or suspended from doing business with the Federal Government.		
7. Applicant has disclosed any violations of Federal criminal law - Applicants must disclose in a timely manner, in writing to HUD, all violations of Federal criminal law involving fraud, bribery, or gratuity violations potentially affecting the Federal award.		
8. Applicant has demonstrated the population to be served meets program eligibility requirements.		
9. Applicant complies with headquarter and field office directives. Applicant met HUD Expectations - When considering renewal projects for award, HUD will review information in eLOCCS; Annual Performance Reports (APRs); and information provided from the local HUD CPD Field Office, including monitoring reports and A-133 audit reports as applicable, and performance standards on prior grants.		
10. Applicant demonstrates fiscal and administrative capacity to expend, track and report on grant awards.		2

11. Applicant participates in and accepts 100% of participant referrals from CoC Coordinated Entry process.		
12. Applicant agrees to practice and monitor fidelity to Housing First principles and be low barrier, and score equals or greater than ten (10) on Housing First question Section 7 of Application.		
13. Project has documented the required matching funds		
14. Project is financially feasible.		
15. Applicant is active CoC participant.		
16. Commit to prioritizing eligible families with children and/or unaccompanied youth assigned through CES, prioritizing clients for assistance based on CoC-wide established criteria and will not deny any family assistance to the Project, or separate the members of the family, as a condition of entry.		
17. Documented organizational financial stability, audit shows agency has no major findings. Financial audit completed within previous 24 months of submission date.		
18. Applicant has a Code of Conduct which complies with 2 CFR part 200		

**Section B: Project Financial- 30 Points**

<b>Measurement</b>	<b>Source</b>	<b>Data Calculations</b>	<b>Scoring Values</b>
<b>Financials</b>	Audited Financials and 990 submitted as attachment	Review of Auditor's Report	Total Points Possible: 10 No Findings ADD 10pts. If there were minor findings or findings resolved ADD 5 pts. If findings are deemed "significant" then 0 pts.
<b>Unspent HUD Funds</b> <b>Objective</b>	Project Application Section 12	Project's last full grant year shows unspent HUD COC funds	Total Points Possible: 10 spending at 97-100% = 10 points; 91-96% = 8 points; 85-90%=5 points; less than 85% = 0 points
<b>Repay/Return Grant Funds</b> <b>Objective</b>	Project Application Section 12	Applicant Returned funds to HUD or other federal or state agency within 2 years.	Total Points Possible: 5 No funds returned – ADD 5 pts. If Yes, Explanation addresses all concerns = 3 Explanation addresses some concerns = 1 Explanation fails to address concerns = 0

Total Points Possible: 5  
 No outstanding debt – ADD 5 pts.  
 If Yes, documented payment schedule = 2  
 If Yes and no documented payment schedule then project is ineligible for funding

**HUD Unresolved Findings**

Project Application  
 Section 12

Has no outstanding obligation/debt to HUD in arrears

**Objective**

**Section C: Project Performance- 50 Points**

Measurement	Source	Data Calculations	Scoring Values
<p><b>PSH Housing Stability:</b>                      % of persons who remained in the PH project at the end of the operating year or exited to a permanent housing destination</p> <p><b>Objective</b></p>	<p>HUD CoC APR or Section 14. Verified by HMIS Lead</p> <p><b>System Performance</b></p>	<p>Percentage of the Total number of Retained Clients + Clients with Positive Exits out of the Total Non-Deceased Clients Served</p>	<p>Total Points Possible: 10                      90% + = 10 pts                      85% -89% = 5 pts                      80% - 84% = 3 pts                      &lt; 80% = 0 pts</p>
<p><b>RRH and TH Housing Stability:</b>                      % of persons who exited to a positive housing destination</p> <p><b>Objective</b></p>	<p>HUD CoC APR or Section 14. Verified by HMIS Lead</p> <p><b>System Performance</b></p>	<p>Total persons exiting to positive housing destinations. Numerator is the number exited to stable housing and the demonitor is total exits.</p>	<p>Total Points Possible: 10                      90% + = 10 pts                      80% -89% = 5 pts                      70% - 79% = 3 pts                      &lt; 70% = 0 pts</p>
<p><b>Exits to Homelessness:</b>                      % of program exits to another homeless destination</p> <p><b>Objective</b></p>	<p>HUD CoC APR or Section 14. Verified by HMIS Lead</p> <p><b>System Performance</b></p>	<p>Percentage of exits to place not meant for human habitation, emergency shelter, including hotel or motel paid for with emergency shelter voucher, safe haven or transitional housing</p>	<p>Total Points Possible: 10                      5% or less = 10 pts                      6% - 10% = 8 pts                      11% - 15% = 6 pts                      16% - 20% = 4 pts                      &gt; 20% = 0 pts</p>
			Total Points Possible: 10

<p><b>Earned Income Total:</b> % of program participants who increased their earned income as shown on the last APR</p> <p><b>Objective</b></p>	<p>HUD CoC APR or Section 14. Verified by HMIS Lead</p> <p><b>System Performance</b></p>	<p>The percentage of stayers/leavers that increase cash earned income from entry to latest annual assessment/exit, excluding all stayers without annual assessments</p>	<p>IF PSH Project 20% + = 10 pts 25% - 19% =7 pts 10% - 18% =4 pts 5% - 9% =4 pts &lt; 05% = 0 pts IF RRH or TH Project 40% + =10 pts 39% -30% = 8 pts 29% - 20% =6 pts 19% - 10% =4 pts &lt; 10% = 0 pts</p>
<p><b>Unearned Income Total:</b> % of program participants who increased their non-employment income (including non-cash benefits) as shown on the last APR</p>	<p>HUD CoC APR or Section 14. Verified by HMIS Lead</p> <p><b>Objective</b></p>	<p>The percentage of stayers/leavers with noncash benefit sources, excluding all stayers without annual assessments.</p> <p><b>System Performance</b></p>	<p>Total Points Possible: 10 40% + = 10 pts 30% - 39% =7 pts 20% - 29% =4 pts &lt; 19% = 0 pts</p>
<p><b>Utilization Rate:</b> % of utilization reported on APR</p>	<p>HUD CoC APR or Section 14. Verified by HMIS Lead</p> <p><b>Objective</b></p>	<p>The utilization rate (% of units occupied) for applicant program.</p> <p><b>System Performance</b></p>	<p>Total Points Possible: 10 95%+ = 10 pts &lt;95%-90% = 8 pts &lt;90%-85% = 5 pts &lt;85%-80% = 2 pts &lt; 80% = 0 pt</p>
<p><b>Section D Serving Priority Populations - 20 pts</b></p>			
<p><b>Measurement</b></p>	<p><b>Source</b></p>	<p><b>Data Calculations</b></p>	<p><b>Scoring Values</b></p>
<p><b>Street Homeless Placements:</b> % of program participants entering from a place not meant for human habitation</p>	<p>HUD CoC APR Verified by HMIS Lead</p>	<p>The percentage of participants entering the project for the grant year that are from a place not meant for human habitation</p>	<p>Total Points Possible: 10 IF PSH or RRH Project 100% + = 10 pts &lt; 100% = 0 pts IF TH Project 100% + = 10 pts &lt; 100% = 0 pts</p>

**Considered specific severity of needs & vulnerabilities when ranking and selecting projects**



<p><b>Type of Unit and Total Units</b></p> <p><b>Objective</b></p>	<p>Project Application Section 3</p>	<p>PSH: Add 1 pt. for first 10 units and .25 for each additional unit (COC and Match funded) RRH: Add 1 pt. for first 10 units and .25 for each additional unit (COC and Match funded) YTH: Add 1 pt. for first 10 units and .25 for each additional unit (COC and Match funded)</p>	<p align="center"><b>Page 6</b></p> <p align="center">Total Points Possible: 10</p>
<p><b>Filing of APR</b></p> <p><b>Objective</b></p>	<p>APR will be verified by HSN</p>	<p>Applicant timely and successfully filed APR</p>	<p align="center">Total Points Possible: 5 If filed on time receive full pts. If filed or late receive zero pts.</p>
<p><b>COC Participation</b></p> <p><b>Objective</b></p>	<p>PIT and HIC Involvement Section 9 verified by HSN</p>	<p>Will commit to participation in 2022 PIT Count (No PIT in 2021) Application</p>	<p align="center">Total Points Possible: 5 PIT Participation = 5 pts</p>
<p><b>COC Participation</b></p> <p><b>Objective</b></p>	<p>COC membership participation Section 9 verified by HSN</p>	<p>Sign Up Sheets (Virtual meeting logs) for CoC General Membership Participation</p>	<p align="center">Total Points Possible: 5 If attended = 2-3, Add 2 pts. If attended = 4-7, Add 4 pts. If attended = 8 or more, Add 5 pts.</p>
<p><b>COC Participation</b></p> <p><b>Objective</b></p>	<p>COC committee participation Section 9 verified by HSN</p>	<p>Sign Up Sheets (Virtual meeting logs) for CoC Committees Participation</p>	<p align="center">Total Points Possible: 5 If attended = 2-3, Add 2 pts. If attended = 4-7, Add 4 pts. If attended = 8 or more, Add 5 pts.</p>
<p><b>Direct Practice Skills Training (e.g., Motivational Interviewing, Trauma-Informed Care, DV &amp; Human Trafficking)</b></p> <p><b>Objective</b></p>	<p>Participation in Training Section 4 verified by HSN</p>	<p>Sign Up Sheets (Virtual meeting logs) for CoC Sponsored Training</p>	<p align="center">Total Points Possible: 10 If 100% of direct practice &amp; program supervisory staff having served more 6 months attended at least 1 training; If 75% to get 5 points.</p>
<p align="center"><b>Section G - Geographic Coverage and Responsiveness to Jurisdictional Priorities - 6 Points</b></p>			
<p><b>Measurement</b></p>	<p><b>Report</b></p>	<p><b>Data Calculations</b></p>	<p><b>Scoring Values</b></p>
<p><b>Service Coverage of Jurisdiction</b></p> <p><b>Objective</b></p>	<p>Application must specify any and all Jurisdictions covered Section 5</p>	<p>For each Jurisdiction Applicant Commits to Serve Clients from : ADD 1 point</p>	<p align="center">Total Points Possible: 6</p>
<p align="center"><b>Section H - Project Design - 45 Possible Points</b></p>			
<p><b>Measurement</b></p>	<p><b>Report</b></p>	<p><b>Data Calculations</b></p>	<p><b>Scoring Values</b></p>

<p><b>Access to Mainstream Benefits</b></p> <p><b>Objective</b></p>	<p><b>Page 7</b></p> <p>Project Application Section 10</p>	<p>Applicant or project partner serves as DCF ACCESS or community partner agrees to ensure enrollment in mainstream benefits</p>	<p>If YES, ADD 5 pts. If NO, but will perform same function, ADD 2 pts.</p>
<p><b>Access to Mainstream Benefits</b></p> <p><b>Objective</b></p>	<p>Project Application Section 10</p>	<p>Applicant or project partner has on staff a SOAR Specialist or will enter MOU with SOAR Provider</p>	<p>If YES, ADD 5 pts.</p>
<p><b>Access to Transportation</b></p> <p><b>Objective</b></p>	<p>Project Application Section 10</p>	<p>Applicant or project partner has committed to provide transportation and has described the approach and level of transportation.</p>	<p>If YES, ADD 5 pts.</p>
<p><b>School Liaison</b></p> <p><b>Objective</b></p>	<p>Project Application Section 10</p>	<p>Project partner has committed to have a designated staff person whose responsibilities include ensuring children are enrolled in school and receive appropriate services as required</p>	<p>If YES, ADD 5 pts.</p>
<p><b>Cost Effectiveness</b></p> <p><b>Objective</b></p>	<p>Project Application Section 3 (total beds) and Section 13 Total Income Budget</p>	<p>Project is cost effective Considered Elements: Cost per person served is comparable to COC average within project type. Divide Total Budget Income by Total Beds.</p>	<p>If YES, ADD 5 pts.</p>
<p><b>Client Satisfaction Surveys</b></p> <p><b>Objective</b></p>	<p>Project Application Section 7</p>	<p>Award 5 points for a "Yes" response. If response is "No" then the project will score zero</p>	<p>If YES, ADD 5 pts.</p>



<p align="center"><b>COVID-19</b></p>	<p align="center"><b>Page 8</b></p> <p align="center">Section 15</p>	<p>The Project has implemented safety protocols to address the safety needs of individuals and families participating in current projects and has identified project eligible individuals and families experiencing homelessness for COVID-19 vaccination based on local protocol.</p>	<p>If YES to both safety protocols and vaccinations and fully described each ADD 5 pts. If YES to 1 with a full description ADD 2pts. If NO = 0 pts</p>
<p align="center"><b>Gender Inclusion/Non-Discrimination Policy</b></p> <p align="center"><b>Objective</b></p>	<p align="center">Section 15</p>	<p>Applicant ensures inclusion and non-discrimination based on equal access criteria</p>	<p>If YES to both question j and k and fully described each ADD 5 pts. If YES to 1 with a full description ADD 2pts. If NO = 0 pts</p>
<p align="center"><b>Participation by population served</b></p> <p align="center"><b>Objective</b></p>	<p align="center">Project Application Section 7</p>	<p>Does the agency have a board of directors that includes representation from more than one person with lived experience? Written policies and procedures submitted by the project and narrative response demonstrating participation by persons with lived experience in program design and policy-making?</p>	<p>If YES to both question g, h and I and fully described each ADD 5 pts. If YES to 2 with a full description ADD 3pts. If YES to 1 with a full description ADD 1pt. If NO = 0 pts</p>

**231 possible points**

Scoring Overview - New and Bonus			
As determined by the CoC Governance Board, community priority will be given to eligible projects in the following order: Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH), Rapid Rehousing (RRH), Youth-Specific Transitional Housing (TH) or Supportive Services Only (SSO) Renewals, Transitional Housing- Rapid Rehousing (TH-RRH), Essential System Supports Coordinated Entry System (CES) and Essential System Supports Homeless Management Information System (HMIS). All projects will be scored utilizing the following materials included below under the headings of: Project Application Threshold, Financial Data, Project Performance, Serving Priority Populations, Commitment to COC priorities, COC Participation, Geographic Coverage, Project Design, and Bonus points.			
Section A: Project Application Threshold			
Eligibility Criteria	Yes	No	Scoring Values
1. Projects applicant and subrecipient are eligible entities and project is in compliance with CoC Interim Rule and subsequent notices, including threshold requirements outlined in the 2021 Notice of Funding Opportunity			If any response is 'No' project is not eligible for review unless the criteria is not applicable to project type.
2. Agreed to Participate in HMIS or if project is Domestic Violence or Human Trafficking project, must adhere with HMIS data and technical standards in a comparable database.			
3. Applicant has Active SAM registration with current information.			
4. Applicant has Valid DUNS number in application.			
5. Applicant has no Outstanding Delinquent Federal Debts- unless: (a) A negotiated repayment schedule is established and the repayment schedule is not delinquent, or (b) Other arrangements satisfactory to HUD are made before the award of funds by HUD.			
6. Applicant has no Debarments and/or Suspensions -or is proposed to be debarred or suspended from doing business with the Federal Government.			
7. Applicant has disclosed any violations of Federal criminal law - Applicants must disclose in a timely manner, in writing to HUD, all violations of Federal criminal law involving fraud, bribery, or gratuity violations potentially affecting the Federal award.			
8. Applicant has demonstrated the population to be served meets program eligibility requirements.			
9. Applicant complies with headquarter and field office directives. Applicant met HUD Expectations - When considering renewal projects for award, HUD will review information in eLOCCS; Annual Performance Reports (APRs); and information provided from the local HUD CPD Field Office, including monitoring reports and A-133 audit reports as applicable, and performance standards on prior grants.			
10. Applicant demonstrates fiscal and administrative capacity to expend, track and report on grant awards. Financial audit within previous 24 months of submission date.			
11. Projects will participate in and accept 100% of referrals from Coordinated Entry, when it is available for the project type.			
12. Applicant agrees to practice and monitor fidelity to Housing First principles and be low barrier, and scored = or > than 10 on Housing First question Section 7 of Application.			
13. Project has documented the required matching funds			
14. Project is financially feasible.			
15. Applicant is active CoC participant.			
16. Commit to prioritizing eligible families with children and/or unaccompanied youth assigned through CES, prioritizing clients for assistance based on CoC-wide established criteria and will not deny any family assistance to the Project, or separate the members of the family, as a condition of entry.			

17. Documented organizational financial stability, audit shows agency has no major findings. Financial audit completed within previous 24 months of submission date.		
18. Applicant has a Code of Conduct which complies with 2 CFR part 200		
19. Representation at Mandatory RFP Workshop		

**Section B: Project Financial (20 Points)**

Measurement	Source	Data Calculations	Scoring Values
<b>Financials</b>	Audited Financials and 990 submitted as attachment	Review of Auditor's Report	Total Points Possible: 10 No Findings ADD 10pts. If there were minor findings or findings resolved ADD 5 pts. If findings are deemed "significant" then 0 pts.
<b>Repay/Return Grant Funds</b>	Project Application Section 12, question 12.5	Applicant Returned funds to HUD or other federal or state agency within 2 years.	Total Points Possible: 5 funds returned – ADD 5 pts. If Yes, Explanation addresses all concerns = 3 Explanation addresses some concerns = 1 Explanation fails to address concerns = 0
<b>HUD Unresolved Findings</b>	Project Application Section 12, question 12.11	Has outstanding obligation/debt to HUD in arrears or with payment schedule pending	Total Points Possible: 5 outstanding debt – ADD 5 pts. If Yes, documented payment schedule = 2 If Yes and no documented payment schedule then project is ineligible for funding

Objective

Objective

**Section C: Project Performance (55 Points)**

Measurement	Source	Data Calculations	Scoring Values
<b>PSH Housing Stability:</b> % of persons who remain in any current PSH project or exited to a permanent housing destination managed by the applicant at the end of the last 12 month period	HUD CoC APR or Agency Data from Section 14	Percentage of the Total number of Retained Clients + Clients with Positive Exits out of the Total Non-Deceased Clients Served	Total Points Possible: 15 90% + = 15 pts 85% -89% = 11 pts 80% - 84% = 7pts 79%-75% = 3 pts 70% or no data= 0 pts
<b>RRH and TH Housing Stability:</b> % of persons who exited any current RRH or TH project managed by the applicant to a positive housing destination over the last 12 month period	HUD CoC APR or Agency Data from Section 14	Total persons exiting to positive housing destinations. Numerator is the number exited to stable housing and the demonitor is total exits.	Total Points Possible: 15 90% + = 15 pts 85% -89% = 11 pts 80% - 84% = 7pts 79%-75% = 3 pts 70%or no data = 0 pts

< Objective, System

Performance

**Objective,  
System  
Performance**

<b>Exits to Homelessness:</b> % of program exits to another homeless destination for any housing project managed by the applicant to a positive housing destination over the last 12 month period	HUD CoC APR or Agency Data from Section 14	Percentage of exits to place not meant for human habitation, emergency shelter, including hotel or motel paid for with emergency shelter voucher, safe haven or transitional housing (DV/HT agency will not be scored and will be held harmless due to potential impacts of abusers on project exits).	Total Points Possible: 15 5% or less = 15 pts 6% - 10% = 11 pts 11% - 15% = 7 pts 20% = 3 pts > 20% or no data= 0 pts
<b>Increase Income and Ability to Live Independently</b>	HUD CoC APR or Agency Data from Section 14	Project has increased income for projet leavers and stayers as reported in HMIS over the last year and project provides employment services and/or SOAR case management to assist clients to increase income.	Total Points Possible: 10 Yes to both 10 pts.: If Yes to one 5 Pts 0 pts.
<b>Utilization Rate:</b> % of utilization reported on APR or other housing operated by the applicant	HUD CoC APR or Agency Data from Section 14	The utilization rate (% of units occupied) for applicant program.	Total Points Possible: 15 95%+ = 15 pts <95%-90% = 11 pts <90%-85% = 7 pts 80% = 3 pts < 80% or no data= 0 pt.

**Section D Serving Priority Populations (20 pts)**

Measurement	Source	Data Calculations	Scoring Values
<b>Prioritization Based on Need</b>	Project Application Section 8 - first 3 questions "Families with children/unaccompanied youth" "prioritization" and "Family Unit"	Commit to only accepting families with children and/or unaccompanied youth eligible for project type assigned through CES, prioritizing clients for assistance based on CoC-wide established criteria and will not deny any family assistance to the Project, or separate the members of the family, as a condition of entry.	Total Points Possible: 5 IF YES to all three then scores 5 pts. No to any will result in 0 pts.
<b>Priority Population-Applicable Sub-Populations</b>	Project Application Section 6, Target Population Chart	PSH: Either Chronically Homeless or DedicatedPLUS Families with Children and/or Chronically Homeless Veterans in addition to at least one of the following: Persons with Substance Abuse Disorders, Persons with Severe Mental Illnesses, Survivors of Domestic Violence. NOTE all Beds must be dedicated to chronically homeless persons or DedicatedPLUS RRH: Unaccompanied LGBTQ Youth, Youth Families with Children, Survivors of Domestic Violence/Victims of Human Trafficking TH or TH-RRH: DV or youth	Total Points Possible = 5 pts. If all selected populations have "yes" for all inclusive - 5 points For any "no" in all inclusive - 0 points unless explanation matches Housing First principles
<b>Promotion of Racial Equity</b>	Section 15	The degree to which the project reviewed program participant outcomes with an equity lens, has identified barriers to participation by persons of different races and ethnicities and has taken steps to eliminate the identified barriers including policies and processes to address racial disparities	Total Points Possible: 10 2 pts. For each question a, b, c, d and e in Section 15 answered yes and has fully described their answers.

**Objective**

**Section E: HMIS Data Quality - 30 Points**

Measurement	Report	Data Calculations	Scoring Values
-------------	--------	-------------------	----------------

<b>Project's Data Quality:</b>	HUD CoC APR Verified by HMIS Lead	Includes data on entry of PII, disabling condition, timeliness of data entry, income data, and exit data	Total Points Possible: 25 95% + = 25 pts 89% to 94 % = 20 pts. 83% to 88 % = 15 pts 77% to 82 % = 10 pts 76% to 71% = 5 pts. Under 71% = 0 pts
<b>HMIS Policies and Procedures</b>	Project Application Section 9	Answers Yes to Commitment to Future HMIS Continuity, Current Inclusion in HMIS Bed/Services Inventory, Commitment to Future HMIS Bed/Services Inventory Continuity, Compliance with HMIS License and Training Requirements, Commitment to Ensure Participation in HMIS Annual Refresher Training and Commitment to Enter Universal Data Elements & Personal Identifying Information Into HMIS. DV providers commit to these standards using a comparable data base.	If YES to all Add 5 pts. NO to any application receives 0 pts.
<b>Section F: Agency Commitment to COC Priorities - (35 points)</b>			
<b>Measurement</b>	<b>Source</b>	<b>Data Calculations</b>	<b>Scoring Values</b>
<b>Alignment with Housing First Principles</b>	Project Application Section 7-" Eligibility Chart and Termination Chart"	<b>To what extent do the project's written policies and procedures ensure that participants are not screened out based on the following criteria?</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Having too little or no income</li> <li>• Failure to participate in supportive services (with exception for HUD-mandated monthly case management meeting for RRH program participants or after stably housed).</li> <li>• Active, or history of, substance use or a substance use disorder</li> <li>• Having a criminal record (with exceptions for state-mandated restrictions)</li> <li>• History or survivor of domestic violence</li> </ul>	Total Points Possible: 15 Receive 1 pt. for each NO answer in either column for numbers 1-15. Subtract 1 pts for each Yes answer in the Termination Table for EITHER column for answers 1-6 UNLESS, in the reviewers opinion, a justifiable reason was given for the termination
<b>Type of Unit and Total Units</b>	Project Application Section 3 - "Number of Homeless Housing Units"	PSH: Add 1 pt. for first 10 units and .25 for each additional unit RRH: Add 1 pt. for first 10 units and .25 for each additional unit YTH: Add 1 pt. for first 10 units and .25 for each additional unit	Total Points Possible: 10
<b>Coordinated Entry Process</b>	Project Application Section 8 and Section 18	Answered YES to CES MOU and CES Continuity in Section 8. In answered question in Section 18 "Project Scope" Proposal describes how the project will comply with the COC's Coordinated Entry procedures and applicant demonstrates a understanding of the COC Coordinated Entry process.	Total Points Possible: 10 Yes to both CES MOU and CES Continuity = 5 pts Section 18: Project Narrative demonstrates knowledge of CES & that project will accept referrals from CES = 5 pts Project narrative includes CES as referral for housing but not for services - 3 pts Project narrative suggests that some/all referrals will come from sources other than CES - Threshold
<b>Section G - COC Participation (CoC Priority Part 2) (15 Points)</b>			
<b>Measurement</b>	<b>Report</b>	<b>Data Calculations</b>	<b>Scoring Values</b>

**Objective**

**Page 12**

**Objective**

**Objective**

**Objective**

Objective

<b>COC Participation</b>	PIT and HIC Involvement Section 9 verified by HSN	Will commit to participation in 2022 PIT Count (No PIT in 2021)	Total Points Possible: 3 PIT Participation = 3 pts
<b>COC Participation</b>	COC membership participation Section 9 verified by HSN	<b>Sign Up Sheets (Virtual meeting logs) for CoC General Membership Participation</b>	Total Points Possible: 3 If attended = 2-3, Add 1 pts. If attended = 4-7, Add 2 pts. If attended = 8 or more, Add 3 pts.
<b>COC Participation</b>	COC committee participation Section 9 verified by HSN	<b>Sign Up Sheets (Virtual meeting logs) for CoC Committees Participation</b>	Total Points Possible: 3 If attended = 2-3, Add 1 pts. If attended = 4-7, Add 2 pts. If attended = 8 or more, Add 3 pts.
<b>Direct Practice Skills Training (e.g., Motivational Interviewing, Trauma-Informed Care, DV &amp; Human Trafficking)</b>	Participation in Training Section 9 verified by HSN	<b>Sign Up Sheets (Virtual meeting logs) for CoC Sponsored Training</b>	Total Points Possible: 6 If 100% of direct practice & program supervisory staff having served more 6 months attended at least 1 training; get 6 pts If 75% to get 3 points.
<b>Section H - Geographic Coverage and Responsiveness to Jurisdictional Priorities (6 Points)</b>			
<b>Measurement</b>	<b>Report</b>	<b>Data Calculations</b>	<b>Scoring Values</b>
<b>Service Coverage of Jurisdiction</b>	Application must specify any and all Jurisdictions covered Section 5	<b>For each Jurisdiction Applicant Commits to Serve Clients from : ADD 1 points</b>	Total Points Possible: 6
<b>Section I - Project Design - (68 Points)</b>			
<b>Measurement</b>	<b>Report</b>	<b>Data Calculations</b>	<b>Scoring Values</b>
<b>Project Budget</b>	Project Application Section 13 budget charts; For housing projects - Section 3 - Number of HUD CoC Funded Units (qst 3.3) For Services Projects - "proposed New Staffing FTE", or items identified as HUD funded in "Other support services chart"	Does budget request match the number of housing units identified? Is the Budget reasonable? Does budget request match the number of FTEs identified? (Contracts are currently capped at \$65,000 per FTE including salary, benefits, mileage, and Supervision). Is the budget request supported by the responses to "Funding for Other Supportive Services" for which the applicant noted HUD funds would be sought?	Total Points Possible: 5 Awarded by scoring review scaled from 0 to 5

Objective

<b>Design of Housing</b>	Project Application Section 19 and Section 11 for All Housing	Housing where participants will reside is fully described and appropriate to the program design proposed. Sample factors for consideration: Does the type and time of subsidy make sense for the housing project (ex. RRH is time limited, maximum of 24 months)?; Is the housing accessible to community amenities such as grocery stores, pharmacy, schools, jobs and healthcare? (Section 11 for Project based); Do scattered site projects show how projects will be identified, that landlords will sign HAP agreements, and that tenants will sign leases?; If serving chronically homeless persons, does the design require more than a small minority of chronically homeless people (singles, not families) to share units?	Total Points Possible: 5 Design is strong and demonstrates strong ability to operate a successful housing project - 5 pts Design is reasonable and demonstrates moderate ability to operate a successful housing project - 3 pts Design does not fit with eligible activities and/or demonstrates poor ability to operate a successful housing project - 0pts
<b>Supportive Services Plan</b>	Project Application Section 18 and Section 4, (Other Support Services Chart) and Section 4, (Current and New Staffing for Housing Stability Case Management)	Supportive Services plan includes provision of comprehensive case management and appropriate supportive services of the type, scale and location to meet the needs of program participants (as well as transportation if necessary), using a Housing First model. Sample criteria include: Is the project staffed appropriately and are staff trained to provide the services?; Is the program design to be accessible to all eligible clients?; Will the project use evidence-based practices including motivational interviewing, trauma informed care, etc?	Total Points Possible: 5 5 Points for strong soundness of design, experience and feasibility 3 points for reasonable design, experience and feasibility 0 points for poor design, experience, reasibility
<b>Supportive Services Plan</b>	Project Application Section 16	Percent of services delivered in the field	Total Points Possible: 5 If 80% or more award 5 pts. If 50% to 79% award 3 pts.
<b>Project Implementation Timeline</b>	Project Application Section 16, project timeline -	Proposed timeline for project implementation and occupancy is reasonable. Activities are described for 60 days, 90 days, 120 and 180 days after award. First client will be housed within 90 days of award and all clients will be housed within 180 days of award.	Total Points Possible: 3 Implementation, occupancy is reasonable; oversight is sufficient (ex. at least quarterly after implementation) - 3 points Implementation, occupancy is reasonable; oversight is insufficient (less than quarterly after implementation) - 1 pts Implementation & oversight are insufficient - 0
<b>Access to Mainstream Benefits</b>	Project Application Section 10	Applicant answered YES and described their approach to increasing access to mainstream benefits	If YES, ADD 3 pts
<b>Access to Mainstream Benefits</b>	Project Application Section 10	Applicant or project partner serves as DCF ACCESS community partner to ensure enrollment in mainstream benefits	If YES, ADD 2 pts. If NO, but will perform same function, ADD 1 pts. If NO, will provide the service, 0 pts.
<b>Access to Transportation</b>	Project Application Section 10	Applicant or project partner has committed to provide transportation and has described the approach and level of transportation.	If YES, ADD 2 pts

Objective

<b>Access to Mainstream Benefits</b>	Project Application Section 10	Applicant or project partner has on staff a SOAR Specialist or will enter MOU with SOAR Provider	If YES, ADD 3 pts.
<b>School Liaison</b>	Project Application Section 10	Project partner has committed to have a designated staff person whose responsibilities include ensuring children are enrolled in school and receive appropriate services as required	If YES, ADD 2 pts
<b>Cost Effectiveness</b>	Project Application Section 3 (total beds) and Section 13 Total Income Budget	Project is cost effective Considered Elements: Cost per person served is comparable to COC average within project type. Divide Total Income by Total Beds. Compare with renewal rates	If YES, ADD 5 pts.
<b>Client Satisfaction Surveys</b>	Project Application Section 7	Award 5 points for a "Yes" response. If response is "No" then the project will score zero	If YES, ADD 2 pts
<b>Experience with Housing First and Housing First Training</b>	Project Application Section 18 (experience with housing first, housing first training)	Award up to 5 points if applicant adequately described their experience with HF and their commitment to HF training.	Total Points Possible: 5 Strong experience with housing first implementation and training - 5 pts; Reasonable experience with housing first implementation and training - 3 pts; poor experience - 0 points Sample factors for consideration can include how many years involved with a housing first project, types of trainings attended/conducted, completion of housing first self-assessment tools, etc.
<b>COVID-19</b>	Section 15	The Project has implemented safety protocols to address the safety needs of individuals and families participating in current projects and has identified project eligible individuals and families experiencing homelessness for COVID-19 vaccination based on local protocol.	Total Points Possible: 5 If YES to both safety protocols and vaccinations and fully described each ADD 5 pts. If YES to 1 with a full description ADD 2pts. If NO = 0 pts
<b>Housing Navigation and/or Housing Stability Case Management</b>	Project Application Section 18,	Applicant adequately described their experience with similar or different populations to be served by the applicant and how project will implement Housing First philosophy.	Total Points Possible: 5 Agency already serving the same population in other projects - 5 pts Agency is not serving the same population but has clearly identified how it will assist staff to become competent in housing first implementation - 3 pts Agency's plan is vague and poorly defined - 0 pts
<b>Trauma Informed Care</b>	Project Application Section 18	Applicant adequately described their experience with Trauma Informed Care., question 17.8 Sample criteria for consideration include use of training, feedback from clients, integration into policies and procedures	Total Points Possible: 3 Agency plan is strong - 3 pts Agency plan is reasonable - 2 pts Agency plan is limited - 0 pts
<b>Encouragement of Volunteerism and Employment by Program Participants</b>	Project Application Section 18	Applicant adequately describes how project works to encourage volunteerism and or employment (including, supportive and micro employment) among participants.	Agency plan is strong - 2 pts Agency plan is reasonable - 1 pts Agency plan is limited - 0 pts

Objective

Objective



<b>Gender Inclusion/Non-Discrimination Policy</b>	Section 15	Applicant ensures inclusion and non-discrimination based on equal access criteria	Total Pts = 3 If YES to both question j and k and fully described each ADD 5 pts. If YES to 1 with a full description ADD 2pts. If NO = 0 pts
<b>Participation by population served</b>	Project Application Section 7	Does the agency have a board of directors that includes representation from more than one person with lived experience? Written policies and procedures submitted by the project and narrative response demonstrating participation by persons with lived experience in program design and policy-making?	Total Pts = 3 If YES to both question g, h and I and fully described each ADD 5 pts. If YES to 2 with a full description ADD 3pts. If YES to 1 with a full description ADD 1pt. If NO = 0 pts
<b>Bonus Point Section (20 Points)</b>			
<b>Measurement</b>	<b>Report</b>	<b>Data Calculations</b>	<b>Scoring Values</b>
New PSH or RRH project	TBD	New project applications created through reallocation or the CoC Bonus that	Total Points Possible: 20
<b>266</b>			

**Scoring Overview - DV Bonus**

As determined by the CoC Governance Board, community priority will be given to eligible projects in the following order: Rapid Rehousing (RRH) and Transitional Housing- Rapid Rehousing (TH-RRH). All projects will be scored utilizing the following materials included below under the headings of: Project Application Threshold, Financial Data, project Performance, Serving Priority Populations, Commitment to COC priorities, COC Participation, Geographic Coverage, Project Design, and Bonus.

**Section A: Project Application Threshold**

Eligibility Criteria	Yes	No	Scoring Values
1. Projects applicant and subrecipient are eligible entities and project is in compliance with CoC Interim Rule and subsequent notices, including threshold requirements outlined in the 2021 Notice of Funding Opportunity			<p><b>If any response is 'No' project is not eligible for review unless the criteria is not applicable to project type.</b></p>
2. Agreed to Participate in HMIS or if project is Domestic Violence or Human Trafficking project, must adhere with HMIS data and technical standards in a comparable database.			
3. Applicant has Active SAM registration with current information.			
4. Applicant has Valid DUNS number in application.			
5. Applicant has no Outstanding Delinquent Federal Debts- unless: (a) A negotiated repayment schedule is established and the repayment schedule is not delinquent, or (b) Other arrangements satisfactory to HUD are made before the award of funds by HUD.			
6. Applicant has no Debarments and/or Suspensions -or is proposed to be debarred or suspended from doing business with the Federal Government.			
7. Applicant has disclosed any violations of Federal criminal law - Applicants must disclose in a timely manner, in writing to HUD, all violations of Federal criminal law involving fraud, bribery, or gratuity violations potentially affecting the Federal award.			
8. Applicant has demonstrated the population to be served meets program eligibility requirements.			
9. Applicant complies with HUD headquarter and field office directives. Applicant met HUD Expectations - When considering renewal projects for award.			

10. Applicant demonstrates fiscal and administrative capacity to expend, track and report on grant awards. Financial audit within previous 24 months of submission date.		
11. Projects will participate in and accept 100% of referrals from Coordinated Entry, when it is available for the project type.		
12. Applicant agrees to practice and monitor fidelity to Housing First principles and be low barrier, and scored = or > than 10 on Housing First question Section 7 of Application.		
13. Project has documented the required matching funds		
14. Project is financially feasible		
15. Applicant is active CoC participant.		
16. Project has reasonable costs per program participant.		
17. Documented organizational financial stability, audit shows agency has no major findings. Financial audit completed within previous 24 months of submission date.		
18. Applicant has a Code of Conduct which complies with 2 CFR part 200		
19. Representation at Mandatory RFP Workshop		

**Section B: Project Financial (20 Points)**

Measurement	Source	Data Calculations	Scoring Values
<b>Financials</b>	Audited Financials and 990 submitted as attachment	Review of Auditor's Report	Total Points Possible: 10 No Findings ADD 10pts. If there were minor findings or findings resolved ADD 5 pts. If findings are deemed "significant" then 0 pts.
<b>Repay/Return Grant Funds</b>	Project Application Section 12, question 12.5	Applicant Returned funds to HUD or other federal or state agency within 2 years.	Total Points Possible: 5 No funds returned – ADD 5 pts. If Yes, Explanation addresses all concerns = 3 Explanation addresses some concerns = 1 Explanation fails to address concerns = 0

<p><b>HUD Unresolved Findings</b></p>	<p>Project Application Section 12, question 12.11</p>	<p>Has outstanding obligation/debt to HUD in arrears or with payment schedule pending</p>	<p>Total Points Possible: 5                  No outstanding debt – ADD 5 pts.                  If Yes, documented payment schedule = 2                  If Yes and no documented paymnet schedule then project is ineligible for funding</p>
<p><b>Section C: Project Performance (55 Points)</b></p>			
<p><b>Measurement</b></p>	<p><b>Source</b></p>	<p><b>Data Calculations</b></p>	<p><b>Scoring Values</b></p>
<p><b>RRH and TH Housing Stability:</b>                  % of persons who exited any current RRH or TH project managed by the applicant to a positive housing destination over the last 12 month period</p>	<p>HUD CoC APR or Agency Data</p>	<p>Total persons exiting to positive housing destinations. Numerator is the number exited to stable housing and the demonitor is total exits.</p>	<p>Total Points Possible: 15                  90% + = 15 pts                  85% -89% = 11 pts                  80% - 84% = 7pts                  79%-75% = 3 pts                  &lt; 70%or no data = 0 pts</p>
<p><b>Exits to Homelessness:</b>                  % of program exits to another homeless destination for any housing project managed by the applicant to a positive housing destination over the last 12 month period</p>	<p>HUD CoC APR or Agency Data</p>	<p>Percentage of exits to place not meant for human habitation, emergency shelter, including hotel or motel paid for with emergency shelter voucher, safe haven or transitional housing</p>	<p>Total Points Possible: 15                  5% or less = 15 pts                  6% - 10% = 11 pts                  11% - 15% = 7 pts                  16% - 20% = 3 pts                  &gt; 20% or no data= 0 pts</p>
<p><b>Increase Income and Ability to Live Independently</b></p>	<p>Project Application Section 4 - "Other supportive services chart", 5th row</p>	<p>Project has increased income for projet leavers and stayers as reported in HMIS over the last year and project provides employment services and/or SOAR case management to assist clients to increase income.</p>	<p>Total Points Possible: 13                  If Yes to both 10 pts.: If Yes to one 5 Pts                  If No 0 pts.</p>

**DV Comparable System**

<p><b>Utilization Rate:</b> % of utilization reported on APR or other housing operated by the applicant</p>	<p>HUD CoC APR (Section ?) Verified by HMIS Lead</p>	<p>Enter the utilization rate for applicant program as reported in HUD CoC APR</p>	<p>Total Points Possible: 15 95%+ = 15 pts &lt;95%-90% = 11 pts &lt;90%-85% = 7 pts &lt;85%-80% = 3 pts &lt; 80% or no data= 0 pt.</p>
---	--	--	--

**Section D Serving Priority Populations (20 pts)**

Measurement	Source	Data Calculations	Scoring Values
<p><b>Prioritization Based on Need</b></p>	<p>Project Application Section 8 - first 3 questions "Families with children/unaccompanied youth" "prioritization" and "Family Unit"</p>	<p>Commit to accepting 100 percent of the participants that are or will be survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking, and will not deny any eligible family assistance to the Project, or separate the members of the family, as a condition of entry.</p>	<p>Total Points Possible: 5 IF YES to all three then scores 5 pts. No to any will result in 0 pts.</p>
<p><b>Priority Population-Applicable Sub-Populations</b></p>	<p>Project Application Section 6, Target Population Chart</p>	<p>Will serve all of the following eligible participants: Persons that are fleeing, or attempting to flee, domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, stalking, or other dangerous or life-threatening conditions that relate to violence against the individual or a family member, including a child, that has either taken place within the individual's or family's primary nighttime residence or has made the individual or family afraid to return to their primary nighttime residence; (ii) Has no other residence; and (iii) Lacks the resources or support networks, e.g., family, friends, and faith-based or other social networks, to obtain other permanent housing.</p>	<p>Total Points Possible = 5 pts. If all selected populations have "yes" for all inclusive - 5 points For any "no" in all inclusive - 0 points unless explanation matches Housing First principles</p>
<p><b>Promotion of Racial Equity</b></p>	<p>Section 15</p>	<p>The degree to which the project reviewed program participant outcomes with an equity lens, has identified barriers to participation by persons of different races and ethnicities and has taken steps to eliminate the identified barriers including policies and processes to address racial disparities</p>	<p>Total Points Possible: 10 ADD 2 pts. For each question a, b, c, d and e in Section 15 answered yes and has fully described their answers.</p>

**Section E: HMIS Data Quality - 30 Points**

**DV Comparable System**

Measurement	Report	Data Calculations	Scoring Values
<b>Project's Data Quality:</b>	HUD CoC APR Verified by HMIS Lead or APR <b>Comparable system</b>	Includes data on entry of PII, disabling condition, timeliness of data entry, income data, and exit data	Total Points Possible: 25 95% + = 25 pts 89% to 94 % = 20 pts. 83% to 88 % = 15 pts
<b>Data Quality Policies and Procedures</b>	Project Application Section 9	Answers Yes to Commitment to Future Data Quality using a comparable data system for Inclusion in Bed/Services Inventory, Commitment to Enter Universal Data Elements & Personal Identifying Information Into Comparable Database that adheres to HMIS data standards.	If YES to all Add 5 pts. NO to any application receives 0 pts.
<b>Section F: Agency Commitment to COC Priorities - (CoC Priority Part 1) (35 points)</b>			
Measurement	Source	Data Calculations	Scoring Values
<b>Alignment with Housing First Principles</b>	Project Application Section 7-" Eligibility Chart and Termination Chart"	<b>To what extent do the project's written policies and procedures ensure that participants are not screened out based on the following criteria?</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Having too little or no income</li> <li>• Failure to participate in supportive services (with exception for HUD-mandated monthly case management meeting for RRH program participants or after stably housed).</li> <li>• Active, or history of, substance use or a substance use disorder</li> <li>• Having a criminal record (with exceptions for state-mandated restrictions)</li> <li>• History or survivor of domestic violence</li> </ul>	Total Points Possible: 15 Receive 1 pt. for each NO answer in either column for numbers 1-15. Subtract 1 pts for each Yes answer in the Termination Table for EITHER column for answers 1-6 UNLESS, in the reviewers opinion, a justifiable reason was given for the termination
<b>Type of Unit and Total Units</b>	Project Application Section 3 - "Number of Homeless Housing Units"	PSH: Add 1 pt. for first 10 units and .25 for each additional unit RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH: Add 1 pt. for first 10 units and .25 for each additional unit	Total Points Possible: 10

<p><b>Coordinated Entry Process</b></p>	<p>Project Application Section 8 and Section 18</p>	<p>Answered YES to CES MOU and CES Continuity in Section 8. In answered question in Section 18 "Project Scope"          Proposal describes how the project will comply with the COC's Coordinated Entry procedures and applicant demonstrates a understanding of the COC Coordinated Entry process.</p>	<p>Total Points Possible: 10          Yes to both CES MOU and CES Continuity = 5 points          Section 18: Project Narrative demonstrates knowledge of CES and that project will accept referrals from CES - 5 pts          Project narrative includes CES as referral for housing but not for services - 3 pts          Project narrative suggests that some/all referrals will come from sources other than CES - 0 points</p>
<p><b>Section G - COC Participation (CoC Priority Part 2) (15 Points)</b></p>			
<p><b>Measurement</b></p>	<p><b>Report</b></p>	<p><b>Data Calculations</b></p>	<p><b>Scoring Values</b></p>
<p><b>COC Participation</b></p>	<p>PIT and HIC Involvement Section 9 verified by HSN</p>	<p>Will commit to participation in 2022 PIT Count</p>	<p>Total Points Possible: 3          PIT Participation = 3 pts</p>
<p><b>COC Participation</b></p>	<p>COC membership participation Section 9 verified by HSN</p>	<p><b>Sign Up Sheets (Virtual meeting logs) for CoC General Membership Participation</b></p>	<p>Total Points Possible: 3          If attended = 2-3, Add 1 pts.          If attended = 4-7, Add 2 pts.          If attended = 8 or more, Add 3 pts.</p>
<p><b>COC Participation</b></p>	<p>COC committee participation Section 9 verified by HSN</p>	<p><b>Sign Up Sheets (Virtual meeting logs) for CoC Committees Participation</b></p>	<p>Total Points Possible: 3          If attended = 2-3, Add 1 pts.          If attended = 4-7, Add 2 pts.          If attended = 8 or more, Add 3 pts.</p>

<p><b>Direct Practice Skills Training</b> (e.g., Motivational Interviewing, Trauma-Informed Care, DV &amp; Human Trafficking)</p>	<p>Participation in Training Section 9 verified by HSN</p>	<p><b>Sign Up Sheets (Virtual meeting logs) for CoC Sponsored Training</b></p>	<p>Total Points Possible: 6 If 100% of direct practice &amp; program supervisory staff having served more 6 months attended at least 1 training get 6 pts. If 75% to get 3 points.</p>
<p><b>Section H - Geographic Coverage and Responsiveness to Jurisdictional Priorities (6 Points)</b></p>			
<p><b>Measurement</b></p>	<p><b>Report</b></p>	<p><b>Data Calculations</b></p>	<p><b>Scoring Values</b></p>
<p><b>Service Coverage of Jurisdiction</b></p>	<p>Application must specify any and all Jurisdictions covered Section 5</p>	<p><b>For each Jurisdiction Applicant Commits to Serve Clients from : ADD 1 points</b></p>	<p>Total Points Possible: 6</p>
<p><b>Section I - Project Design - (68 Points)</b></p>			
<p><b>Measurement</b></p>	<p><b>Report</b></p>	<p><b>Data Calculations</b></p>	<p><b>Scoring Values</b></p>
<p><b>Project Budget</b></p>	<p>Project Application Section 13 budget charts; For housing projects - Section 3 - Number of HUD CoC Funded Units (qst 3.3) For Services Projects - "proposed New Staffing FTE", or items identified as HUD funded in "Other support services chart"</p>	<p>Does budget request match the number of housing units identified? Is the Budget reasonable? Does budget request match the number of FTEs identified ? (Contracts are currently capped at \$65,000 per FTE including salary, benefits, mileage, and Supervision) Is the budget request supported by the responses to "Funding for Other Supportive Services" for which the applicant noted HUD funds would be sought?</p>	<p>Total Points Possible: 5 Awarded by scoring review scaled from 0 to 5</p>



<p><b>Design of Housing</b></p>	<p>Project Application Section 19</p>	<p>Housing where participants will reside is fully described and appropriate to the program design proposed. Does the narrative identify how safety factors will be built into housing election; Is the housing accessible to community amenities such as grocery stores, pharmacy, schools, jobs and healthcare?; Does the narrative describe how units will be identified, how landlords will sign HAP agreements, and that tenants will sign leases?</p>	<p>Total Points Possible: 5                  Design is strong and demonstrates strong ability to operate a successful housing project - 5 pts                  Design is reasonable and demonstrates moderate ability to operate a successful housing project - 3 pts                  Design does not fit with eligible activities and/or demonstrates poor ability to operate a successful housing project - 0pts</p>
<p><b>Supportive Services Plan</b></p>	<p>Project Application Section 18 and Section 4, (Other Support Services Chart) and Section 4, (Current and New Staffing for Housing Stability Case Management)</p>	<p>Supportive Services plan includes provision of comprehensive case management and appropriate supportive services of the type, scale and location to meet the needs of program participants (as well as transportation if necessary), using a Housing First model.                  Sample criteria include: Is the project staffed appropriately and are staff trained to provide the services?; Is the program design to be accessible to all eligible clients?; Will the project use evidence-based practices including motivational interviewing, trauma informed care, etc? Does the program describe a safety plan fro participants?</p>	<p>Total Points Possible: 5                  5 Points for strong soundness of design, experience and feasibility                  3 points for reasonable design, experience and feasibility                  0 points for poor design, experience, reasibility</p>
<p><b>Supportive Services Plan</b></p>	<p>Project Application Section 16</p>	<p>Percent of services delivered in the field</p>	<p>Total Points Possible: 5                  If 80% or more award 5 pts. : If 50% to 79% award 3 pts.</p>

<p><b>Project Implementation Timeline</b></p>	<p>Project Application Section 16, project timeline -</p>	<p>Proposed timeline for project implementation and occupancy is reasonable. Activities are described for 60 days, 90 days, 120 and 180 days after award. First client will be housed within 90 days of award and all clients will be housed within 180 days of award.</p>	<p>Total Points Possible: 3                  Implementation, occupancy is reasonable; oversight is sufficient (ex. at least quarterly after implementation) - 3 points                  Implementation, occupancy is reasonable; oversight is insufficient (less than quarterly after implementation) - 1 pts                  Implementation &amp; oversight are insufficient - 0</p>
<p><b>Access to Mainstream Benefits</b></p>	<p>Project Application Section 10</p>	<p>Applicant answered YES and described their approach to increasing access to mainstream benefits</p>	<p>Total Points Possible: 3                  Implementation, occupancy is reasonable; oversight is sufficient (ex. at least quarterly after implementation) - 3 points                  Implementation, occupancy is reasonable;</p>
<p><b>Access to Mainstream Benefits</b></p>	<p>Project Application Section 10</p>	<p>Applicant or project partner serves as DCF ACCESS community partner to ensure enrollment in mainstream benefits</p>	<p>If YES, ADD 2 pts.                  If NO, but will perform same function, ADD 1 pts. If NO, will provide the service, 0 pts.</p>
<p><b>Access to Transportation</b></p>	<p>Project Application Section 10</p>	<p>Applicant or project partner has committed to provide transportation and has described the approach and level of transportation.</p>	<p>If YES, ADD 2 pts.                  If NO, but will perform same function, ADD 1 pts. If NO, will provide the service, 0 pts.</p>
<p><b>Access to Mainstream Benefits</b></p>	<p>Project Application Section 10</p>	<p>Applicant or project partner has on staff a SOAR Specialist or will enter MOU with SOAR Provider</p>	<p>If YES, ADD 3 pts.</p>

<p><b>School Liaison</b></p>	<p>Project Application Section 10</p>	<p>Project partner has committed to have a designated staff person whose responsibilities include ensuring children are enrolled in school and receive appropriate services as required</p>	<p>If YES, ADD 2 pts</p>
<p><b>Cost Effectiveness</b></p>	<p>Project Application Section 3 (total beds) and Section 13 Total Income Budget</p>	<p>Project is cost effective Considered Elements: Cost per person served is comparable to COC average within project type. Divide Total Income by Total Beds. Compare with renewal rates</p>	<p>If YES, ADD 5 pts.</p>
<p><b>Client Satisfaction Surveys</b></p>	<p>Project Application Section 7</p>	<p>Award 5 points for a “Yes” response. If response is "No" then the project will score zero</p>	<p>If YES, ADD 2 pts</p>
<p><b>Experience with Housing First and Housing First Training</b></p>	<p>Project Application Section 18 (experience with housing first, housing first training)</p>	<p>Award up to 5 points if applicant adequately described their experience with HF and their commitment to HF training.</p>	<p>Total Points Possible: 5                  Strong experience with housing first implementation and training - 5 pts;                  Reasonable experience with housing first implementation and training - 3 pts;                  poor experience - 0 points                  Sample factors for consideration can include how many years involved with a housing first project, types of trainings attended/conducted, completion of housing first self-assessment tools, etc.</p>

<p><b>COVID-19</b></p>	<p>Section 15</p>	<p>The Project has implemented safety protocols to address the safety needs of individuals and families participating in current projects and has identified project eligible individuals and families experiencing homelessness for COVID-19 vaccination based on local protocol.</p>	<p>Total Points Possible: 5 If YES to both safety protocols and vaccinations and fully described each ADD 5 pts. If YES to 1 with a full description ADD 2pts. If NO = 0 pts</p>
<p><b>Housing Navigation and/or Housing Stability Case Management</b></p>	<p>Project Application Section 18,</p>	<p>Award up to 5 points if applicant adequately described their experience with similar or different populations to be served by the applicant and how project will implement Housing First philosophy.</p>	<p>Total Points Possible: 5 Agency already serving the same population in other projects - 5 pts Agency is not serving the same population but has clearly identified how it will assist staff to become competent in housing first implementation - 3 pts Agency's plan is vague and poorly defined - 0 pts</p>
<p><b>Trauma Informed Care</b></p>	<p>Project Application Section 18</p>	<p>Award up to 5 points if applicant adequately described their experience with Trauma Informed Care., question 17.8 Sample criteria for consideration include use of training, feedback from clients, integration into policies and procedures</p>	<p>Total Points Possible: 3 Agency plan is strong - 3 pts Agency plan is reasonable - 2 pts Agency plan is limited - 0 pts</p>
<p><b>Encouragement of Volunteerism and Employment by Program Participants</b></p>	<p>Project Application Section 18</p>	<p>Award up to 5 points in applicant adequately describes how project works to encourage volunteerism and or employment (including, supportive and mirco employment) among participants.</p>	<p>Agency plan is strong - 2 pts Agency plan is reasonable - 1 pts Agency plan is limited - 0 pts</p>

## Scoring Criteria - Renewal

Project Name: 2021 RRH 1      Project Number: FL0562L4H072005      Final Score: 160  
 Project Type: RRH

### Section A: Project Renewal Threshold Criteria (Section I of the Application)

Eligibility Criteria	Yes	No
1. Projects must be in compliance with CoC Interim Rule and subsequent notices, including threshold requirements outlined in the 2021 Notice of Funding Opportunity	Yes	
2. Agreed to Participate in HMIS or if project is Domestic Violence or Human Trafficking project, must adhere with HMIS data and technical standards within a comparable database.	Yes	
3. Applicant has Active SAM registration with current information.	Yes	
4. Applicant has Valid DUNS number in application.	Yes	
5. Applicant has no Outstanding Delinquent Federal Debts- unless: (a) A negotiated repayment schedule is established and the repayment schedule is not delinquent, or (b) Other arrangements satisfactory to HUD are made before the award of funds by HUD.	Yes	
6. Applicant has no Debarments and/or Suspensions -or is proposed to be debarred or suspended from doing business with the Federal Government.	Yes	
7. Applicant has disclosed any violations of Federal criminal law - Applicants must disclose in a timely manner, in writing to HUD, all violations of Federal criminal law involving fraud, bribery, or gratuity violations potentially affecting the Federal award.	NA	
8. Applicant has demonstrated the population to be served meets program eligibility requirements.	Yes	
9. Applicant complies with headquarter and field office directives. Applicant met HUD Expectations - When considering renewal projects for award, HUD will review information in eLOCCS; Annual Performance Reports (APRs); and information provided from the local HUD CPD Field Office, including monitoring reports and A-133 audit reports as applicable, and performance standards on prior grants.	Yes	

10. Applicant demonstrates fiscal and administrative capacity to expend, track and report on grant awards.	Yes	
11. Applicant participates in and accepts 100% of participant referrals from CoC Coordinated Entry process.	Yes	
12. Applicant agrees to practice and monitor fidelity to Housing First principles and be low barrier, and score equals or greater than ten (10) on Housing First question Section 7 of Application.	Yes	
13. Project has documented the required matching funds	Yes	
14. Project is financially feasible.	Yes	
15. Applicant is active CoC participant.	Yes	
16. Commit to prioritizing eligible families with children and/or unaccompanied youth assigned through CES, prioritizing clients for assistance based on CoC-wide established criteria and will not deny any family assistance to the Project, or separate the members of the family, as a condition of entry.	Yes	
17. Documented organizational financial stability, audit shows agency has no major findings. Financial audit completed within previous 24 months of submission date.	Yes	
18. Applicant has a Code of Conduct which complies with 2 CFR part 200	Yes	

**Section B: Project Financial- 30 Points**

Measurement	Source	Data Calculations	Scoring Values	Score
<b>Financials</b>	Audited Financials and 990 submitted as attachment	Review of Auditor's Report	Total Points Possible: 10 No Findings ADD 10pts. If there were minor findings or findings resolved ADD 5 pts. If findings are deemed "significant" then 0 pts.	<b>5</b>

<b>Unspent HUD Funds</b>	Project Application Section 11	Project's last full grant year shows unspent HUD COC funds	Total Points Possible: 10 spending at 97-100% = 10 points; 91-96% = 8 points; 85-90%=5 points; less than 85% = 0 points	5
<b>Repay/Return Grant Funds</b>	Project Application Section 11	Applicant Returned funds to HUD or other federal or state agency within 2 years.	Total Points Possible: 5 No funds returned – ADD 5 pts. If Yes, Explanation addresses all concerns = 3 Explanation addresses some concerns = 1 Explanation fails to address concerns = 0	5
<b>HUD Unresolved Findings</b>	Project Application Section 11	Has no outstanding obligation/debt to HUD in arrears	Total Points Possible: 5 No outstanding debt – ADD 5 pts. If Yes, documented payment schedule = 2 If Yes and no documented payment schedule then project is ineligible for funding	5
<b>Section C: Project Performance- 50 Points</b>			<b>Total Points Section B</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>Measurement</b>	<b>Source</b>	<b>Data Calculations</b>	<b>Scoring Values</b>	

<p><b>PSH Housing Stability:</b> % of persons who remained in the PH project at the end of the operating year or exited to a permanent housing destination</p>	<p>HUD CoC APR or Section 13. Verified by HMIS Lead</p>		<p>Total Points Possible: 20 92% + = 20 pts 87% -91% = 15 pts 82% - 86% = 10 pts 79% - 81% = 5 pts &lt; 79% = 0 pts</p>	<p>NA</p>
<p><b>RRH and TH Housing Stability:</b> % of persons who exited to a positive housing destination</p>	<p>HUD CoC APR or Section 13. Verified by HMIS Lead</p>	<p>Total persons exiting to positive housing destinations. Numerator is the number exited to stable housing and the demonitor is total exits.</p>	<p>Total Points Possible: 20 90% + = 20 pts 83% -89% = 15 pts 76% - 82% = 10 pts 70% - 75% = 5 pts &lt; 70% = 0 pts</p>	<p>3</p>
<p><b>Exits to Homelessness:</b> % of program exits to another homeless destination</p>	<p>HUD CoC APR or Section 13. Verified by HMIS Lead</p>	<p>Percentage of exits to place not meant for human habitation, emergency shelter, including hotel or motel paid for with emergency shelter voucher, safe haven or transitional housing</p>	<p>Total Points Possible: 10 5% or less = 10 pts 6% - 10% = 8 pts 11% - 15% = 6 pts 16% - 20% = 4 pts &gt; 20% = 0 pts</p>	<p>6</p>



<p><b>Increase Income and Ability to Live Independently</b></p>	<p>HUD CoC APR or Agency Data from Section 13</p>	<p>Project has increased income for project leavers and stayers as reported in HMIS over the last year and project provides employment services and/or SOAR case management to assist clients to increase income.</p>	<p>Total Points Possible: 10  IF PSH Project 20% + = 10 pts  25% - 19% =7 pts  10% - 18% =4 pts  5% - 9% =4 pts  &lt; 05% = 0 pts  IF RRH or TH Project  40% + =10 pts  39% -30% = 8 pts  29% - 20% =6 pts  10% - 10% =4 pts</p>	<p>0</p>
<p><b>Utilization Rate:</b> % of utilization reported on APR</p>	<p>HUD CoC APR or Section 13. Verified by HMIS Lead</p>	<p>The utilization rate (% of units occupied) for applicant program.</p>	<p>Total Points Possible: 10  95%+ = 10 pts  &lt;95%-90% = 8 pts  &lt;90%-85% = 5 pts  &lt;85%-80% = 2 pts  &lt; 80% = 0 pt</p>	<p>8</p>
<p><b>Section D Serving Priority Populations - 20 pts</b></p>			<p><b>Total Points Section C</b></p>	<p><b>17</b></p>
<p><b>Measurement</b></p>	<p><b>Source</b></p>	<p><b>Data Calculations</b></p>	<p><b>Scoring Values</b></p>	
<p><b>Street Homeless Placements:</b> % of program participants entering from a place not meant for human habitation</p>	<p>HUD CoC APR Verified by HMIS Lead</p>	<p>The percentage of participants entering the project for the grant year that are from a place not meant for human habitation</p>	<p>Total Points Possible: 10  IF PSH or RRH Project  100% + = 10 pts  &lt; 100% = 0 pts  IF TH Project  100% + = 10 pts  &lt; 100% = 0 pts</p>	<p>10</p>

<b>Promotion of Racial Equity</b>	Section 14	The degree to which the project reviewed program participant outcomes with an equity lens, has identified barriers to participation by persons of different races and ethnicities and has taken steps to eliminate the identified barriers including policies and processes to address racial disparities	Total Points Possible: 10 ADD 2 pts. For each question a, b, c, d and e in Section 15 answered yes and has fully described their answers.	8.5
<b>Section E: HMIS Data Quality - 30 Points</b>			<b>Total Points Section D</b>	<b>18.5</b>
<b>Measurement</b>	<b>Report</b>	<b>Data Calculations</b>	<b>Scoring Values</b>	
<b>Project's Data Quality:</b>	HUD CoC APR Verified by HMIS Lead	Includes data on entry of PII, disabling condition, timeliness of data entry, income data, and exit data	Total Points Possible: 25 95% + = 25 pts 89% to 94 % = 20 pts. 83% to 88 % = 15 pts 77% to 82 % = 10 pts 76% to 71% = 5 pts. Under 71% = 0 pts	0
<b>HMIS Policies and Procedures</b>	Project Application Section 9	Answers Yes to Commitment to Future HMIS Continuity, Commitment to Future HMIS Bed/Services Inventory Continuity, Compliance with HMIS License and Training Requirements, Commitment to Ensure Participation in HMIS Annual Refresher Training and Commitment to Enter Universal Data Elements & Personal Identifying Information Into HMIS.	If YES to all Add 5 pts. NO to any application receives 0 pts.	5
<b>Section F: Agency Commitment to COC Priorities - 55 points</b>			<b>Total Points Section E</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>Measurement</b>	<b>Report</b>	<b>Data Calculations</b>	<b>Scoring Values</b>	
<b>Alignment with Housing First</b>	Project Application Section 7	To what extent do the project's written policies and procedures ensure that participants are not screened out	Total Points Possible: 15 Receive 1 pt. for each NO	15
<b>Type of Unit and Total Units</b>	Project Application Section 3	PSH: Add 1 pt. for first 10 units and .25 for each additional unit (COC and Match funded) RRH: Add 1 pt. for first 10 units and .25 for each additional unit (COC and Match funded)	Total Points Possible: 10	6

<b>Filing of APR</b>	APR will be verified by HSN. Section 11	Applicant timely and successfully filed APR	Total Points Possible: 5 If filed on time receive full pts. If filed or late receive zero pts.	5
<b>COC Participation</b>	PIT and HIC Involvement Section 9 verified by HSN	Will commit to participation in 2022 PIT Count (No PIT in 2021) Application	Total Points Possible: 5 PIT Participation = 5 pts	5
<b>COC Participation</b>	COC membership participation Section 9 verified by HSN	Sign Up Sheets (Virtual meeting logs) for CoC General Membership Participation	Total Points Possible: 5 If attended = 2-3, Add 2 pts. If attended = 4-7, Add 4 pts. If attended = 8 or more, Add 5 pts.	5
<b>COC Participation</b>	COC membership participation Section 9 verified by HSN	Sign Up Sheets (Virtual meeting logs) for CoC Committee Participation	Total Points Possible: 5 If attended = 2-3, Add 2 pts. If attended = 4-7, Add 4 pts. If attended = 8 or more, Add 5 pts.	5

<b>Direct Practice Skills Training</b> (e.g., Motivational Interviewing, Trauma-Informed Care, DV & Human Trafficking)	Participation in Training Section 4 verified by HSN	Sign Up Sheets (Virtual meeting logs) for CoC Sponsored Training	Total Points Possible: 10 If 100% of direct practice & program supervisory staff having served more 6 months attended at least 1 training; If 75% to get 5 points.	10
<b>Section G - Geographic Coverage and Responsiveness to Jurisdictional Priorities - 6 Points</b>			<b>Total Points Section F</b>	<b>51</b>
<b>Measurement</b>	<b>Report</b>	<b>Data Calculations</b>	<b>Scoring Values</b>	
<b>Service Coverage of Jurisdiction</b>	Application must specify any and all Jurisdictions covered Section 5	For each Jurisdiction Applicant Commits to Serve Clients from : ADD 1 point	Total Points Possible: 6	6
<b>Section H - Project Design - 45 Possible Points</b>			<b>Total Points Section G</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>Measurement</b>	<b>Report</b>	<b>Data Calculations</b>	<b>Scoring Values</b>	
<b>Access to Mainstream Benefits</b>	Project Application Section 10	Applicant or project partner serves as DCF ACCESS or community partner agrees to ensure enrollment in mainstream benefits	If YES, ADD 5 pts. If NO, but will perform same function, ADD 2 pts.	5
<b>Access to Mainstream Benefits</b>	Project Application Section 10	Applicant or project partner has on staff a SOAR Specialist or will enter MOU with SOAR Provider	If YES, ADD 5 pts.	5
<b>Access to Transportation</b>	Project Application Section 10	Applicant or project partner has committed to provide transportation and has described the approach and level of transportation.	If YES, ADD 5 pts.	5

<b>School Liaison</b>	Project Application Section 10	Project partner has committed to have a designated staff person whose responsibilities include ensuring children are enrolled in school and receive appropriate services as required	If YES, ADD 5 pts.	5
<b>Cost Effectiveness</b>	Project Application Section 3 (total beds) and Section 12 Total Income Budget. Scored in Section 13	Project is cost effective Considered Elements: Cost per person served is comparable to COC average within project type. Divide Total Budget Income by Total Beds.	If YES, ADD 5 pts.	3
<b>Client Satisfaction Surveys</b>	Project Application Section 7	Award 5 points for a “Yes” response. If response is "No" then the project will score zero	If YES, ADD 5 pts.	5
<b>COVID-19</b>	Section 14	The Project has implemented safety protocols to address the safety needs of individuals and families participating in current projects and has identified project eligible individuals and families experiencing homelessness for COVID-19 vaccination based on local protocol.	If YES to both safety protocols and vaccinations and fully described each ADD 5 pts. If YES to 1 with a full description ADD 2pts. If NO = 0 pts	5
<b>Gender Inclusion/Non-Discrimination Policy</b>	Section 14	Applicant ensures inclusion and non-discrimination based on equal access criteria	If YES to both question j and k and fully described each ADD 5 pts. If YES to 1 with a full description ADD 2pts. If NO = 0 pts	4.5

<b>Gender Inclusion/Non-Discrimination Policy</b>	Section 15	Applicant ensures inclusion and non-discrimination based on equal access criteria	Total Pts = 3 If YES to both question j and k and fully described each ADD 5 pts. If YES to 1 with a full description ADD 2pts. If NO = 0 pts
<b>Participation by population served</b>	Project Application Section 7	Does the agency have a board of directors that includes representation from more than one person with lived experience? Written policies and procedures submitted by the project and narrative response demonstrating participation by persons with lived experience in program design and policy-making?	Total Pts = 3 If YES to both question g, h and I and fully described each ADD 5 pts. If YES to 2 with a full description ADD 3pts. If YES to 1 with a full description ADD 1pt. If NO = 0 pts
<b>Section J (20 Points)</b>			
<b>Measurement</b>	<b>Report</b>	<b>Data Calculations</b>	<b>Scoring Values</b>
<b>Experience Narrative</b>	Section 18	Applicant demonstrates previous performance of the applicant in serving survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking, and their ability to house survivors and meet safety outcomes. Applicant has an Emergency Transfer Plan. Applicant describes their use of a victim centered approach. Applicant will rapidly move persons into permanent housing.	Total Points Possible: 10 Agency performance is strong - 10 pts Agency performance is reasonable - 5 pts Agency performance is limited - 0 pts
<b>Demonstrating Improved Safety Planning</b>	Section 18	Applicant demonstrates it maintains data and how it reports objective improvement in safety for victims of domestic violence and human trafficking. Applicant provides examples of how they use their HMIS comparable database to assess the special needs of DV/HT project participants. Applicant describes Agency safety plans for participants.	Total Points Possible: 10 Agency performance is strong - 10 pts Agency performance is reasonable - 5 pts Agency performance is limited - 0 pts

Total 274

<b>Participation by population served</b>	Project Application Section 14	Does the agency have a board of directors that includes representation from more than one person with lived experience? Written policies and procedures submitted by the project and narrative response demonstrating participation by persons with lived experience in program design and policy-making?	If YES to both question g, h and I and fully described each ADD 5 pts. If YES to 2 with a full description ADD 3pts. If YES to 1 with a full description ADD 1pt. If NO = 0 pts	4.8
<b>Total Points Section H</b>				<b>42.3</b>
<b>Total Available Points = 236</b>			<b>Applicants Total</b>	<b>159.8</b>

		Page 39 <b>FINAL PROJECT SCORING</b>				Section 1		Section 3		Section 4		Section 5		Section 6		Section 7			Section 8			
						Application Score	Section A - Project Renewal Threshold	Signature of Authorized Representative	Section 3 - Key Description- Housing	Number of Housing Units	Section 4 - Key Description-SS	Direct Practice Skills Training	Section	Geographic Coverage	Geographic area coverage	Target Population(s) and Service to	No Scoring Criteria	Housing First/Zero Barrier	Housing First	Client Satisfaction Surveys	Prioritization Based on Need	Street Homeless Placements: %
App. ID	Project Type	Agency Name	Project Name	EDA #s	Grand Total	Y/N	Y/N	Total	10	Total	10	Total	6	Total		Total	15	5	Total	10		
2021-48	PSH	Grand Avenue Economic Community Dev. Corp	Grand Avenue Economic Community Development Corp-Home New Beginning-Renewal		200.0	Y	Y	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	2.0	2.0			20.0	15.0	5.0	10.0	10.0		
2021-51	PSH	Homeless Services Network of Central Florida,	HSN Op & Serv Renewal		192.3	Y	Y	7.3	7.3	10.0	10.0	2.0	2.0			19.0	14.0	5.0	10.0	10.0		
2021-50	PSH	Homeless Services Network of Central Florida,	Homeless Services Network-HUD Leasing:PSH		184.3	Y	Y	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	6.0	6.0			19.6	14.6	5.0	10.0	10.0		
2021-37	PSH	Homeless Services Network of Central Florida,	Homeless Services Network-HUD Rental Assistance:PSH		184.0	Y	Y	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	6.0	6.0			20.0	15.0	5.0	10.0	10.0		
2021-41	PSH	Seminole County	Seminole County Shelter Plus Care		182.6	Y	Y	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	1.0	1.0			20.0	15.0	5.0	10.0	10.0		
2021-53	RRH	Homeless Services Network of Central Florida,	HSN RRH2 Renewal		178.0	Y	Y	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	6.0	6.0			19.5	14.5	5.0	10.0	10.0		
2021-43	TH	Covenant House Florida, Inc.	Covenant House Florida ROPAL TH		177.0	Y	Y	3.0	3.0	10.0	10.0	6.0	6.0			20.0	15.0	5.0	10.0	10.0		
2021-45	PSH	Orange County Government	Orange County Shelter Plus Care Renewal Application		175.0	Y	Y	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	2.0	2.0			15.0	15.0	0.0	10.0	10.0		
2021-52	RRH	Homeless Services Network of Central Florida,	HSN RRH1 Renewal		159.8	Y	Y	6.0	6.0	10.0	10.0	6.0	6.0			20.0	15.0	5.0	10.0	10.0		
2021-47	PSH	Embrace Families Solutions Inc.	Embrace Families Solutions Inc. + PSH Beacon of Light + Renewal Application		158.0	Y	Y	3.0	3.0	10.0	10.0	1.0	1.0			20.0	15.0	5.0	10.0	10.0		
2021-49	PSH	Osceola County Board of County Commissioners	Osceola County Government Shelter+Care		155.5	Y	Y	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	2.0	2.0			15.0	15.0	0.0	10.0	10.0		
2021-44	SO	Covenant House Florida, Inc.	Covenant House Florida- CMO/SSO- Renewal Application		133.0	Y	Y	0.0	0.0	10.0	10.0	6.0	6.0			15.0	15.0	0.0	10.0	10.0		



Section 9					Section 10					Section 11					Section 12		Section 13							Section 14					
CoC Involvement and	2022 PIT Count and submitted	2021 HIC	CoC membership participation	CoC committee participation	HMS Policies and Procedures	Increasing access	Access to Mainstream Benefits- DCF	Access to Mainstream Benefits- MOU	Access To Transportation	School Liaison	Program and Fin	Auditor's Report	Unspent HUD Funds	Repay/Return Grant Funds	HUD Unresolved Findings	Filing of APR	Project Budget	No scoring Criteria	Project Performance and Alignment	Housing Stability	Exits to Homelessness	Increase Income	Utilization Rate	Project's Data Quality	Cost Effectiveness	Inclusive Structure and Participation.	Promotion of Racial Equity	COVID-19	Gender
Total	5		5	5	5	Total	5	5	5	5	Total	10	10	5	5	5	Total		Total	20	10	10	10	25	5	Total	10	5	
20.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	5.0	17.5	5.0	5.0	5.0	2.5	33.0	10.0	8.0	5.0	5	5	Page 40		60.0	20.0	10.0	10.0	5.0	10.0	5.0	17.5	3.5	5.0	
20.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	5.0	20.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	28.0	5.0	8.0	5.0	5	5			53.0	11.0	6.0	10.0	2.0	20.0	4.0	23.0	10.0	5.0	
20.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	5.0	18.3	5.0	5.0	3.3	5.0	30.0	5.0	10.0	5.0	5	5			41.0	20.0	6.0	5.0	0.0	5.0	5.0	19.3	7.3	4.0	
20.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	5.0	10.0	5.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	28.0	5.0	8.0	5.0	5	5			46.0	20.0	4.0	5.0	10.0	5.0	2.0	24.0	10.0	5.0	
20.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	5.0	20.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	30.0	5.0	10.0	5.0	5	5			48.0	20.0	10.0	10.0	0.0	5.0	3.0	13.6	6.3	0.0	
20.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	5.0	20.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	30.0	5.0	10.0	5.0	5	5			29.0	3.0	6.0	5.0	10.0	0.0	5.0	23.5	8.5	5.0	
20.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	5.0	20.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	28.0	5.0	8.0	5.0	5	5			45.0	0.0	10.0	5.0	10.0	15.0	5.0	15.0	4.0	4.3	
20.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	5.0	15.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	0.0	28.0	5.0	8.0	5.0	5	5			55.0	20.0	8.0	10.0	10.0	5.0	2.0	10.0	1.0	2.0	
20.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	5.0	20.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	25.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5	5			20.0	3.0	6.0	0.0	8.0	0.0	3.0	22.8	8.5	5.0	
20.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	5.0	20.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	35.0	10.0	10.0	5.0	5	5			30.0	0.0	0.0	5.0	10.0	10.0	5.0	9.0	1.0	0.0	
20.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	5.0	15.0	5.0	2.5	5.0	2.5	25.0	10.0	0.0	5.0	5	5			40.0	20.0	0.0	10.0	2.0	5.0	3.0	8.5	42	3.0	0.0
20.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	5.0	20.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	30.0	5.0	10.0	5.0	5	5					5.0					5.0	17.0	4.0	4.3

					Section 1		Section 3		Section 4				Section 5		Section 6		Section 7			
					Application Score	Section A - Project Renewal Threshold Criteria	Signature of Authorized Representative	Section 3 - Key Description-Housing	Number of Housing Unit	Section 4 - Key Description-SS	Direct Practice Skills Training	Field Based Services	Supportive Services Plan	Section Geographic	Geographic area coverage	Target Population(s)	Priority Population-Applicable Sub-Populations	Housing First/Zero Barrier Approach	Housing First	Client Satisfaction Surveys
App. ID	Project Type	Agency Name	Project Name	EDA#s	Grand Total	Y/N	Y/N	Total	10	Total	6	5	5	Total	6	5	Total	#	2	
2021-46	RRH	Seminole County Victims' Rights Coalition	Project IMAGINE		193.4	Y	Y	10	10	16	6	5	5	6	6	2	1.7	15	#	0
2021-36	RRH	Catholic Charities of Central Florida	DV Bonus Project		174	Y	Y	6	6	11.7	6	4	2	6	6	5	5	15	#	2
					Application Score	Section A - Project Renewal Threshold Criteria	Signature of Authorized Representative	Section 3 - Key Description-Housing	Number of Housing Unit	Section 4 - Key Description-SS	Direct Practice Skills Training	Field Based Services	Supportive Services Plan	Section Geographic	Geographic area coverage	Target Population(s)	Priority Population-Applicable Sub-Populations	Housing First/Zero Barrier Approach	Housing First	Client Satisfaction Surveys
App. ID	Project Type	Agency Name	Project Name	EDA#s	Grand Total	Y/N	Y/N	Total	10	Total	6	5	5	Total	6	5	Total	#	2	
2021-56	PSH	HSN	HSN Housing and Health Care PSH Reallocation or Bonus		211.5	Y	Y	10.0	10.0	12.5	6.0	#	1.5	#	4.0	#	5.0	17.0	#	2.0
2021-40	PSH	Ability Housing, Inc	Central Florida Villages PSH		208.1	Y	Y	10.0	10.0	6.6	1.5	#	1.3	#	3.0	#	2.5	17.0	#	2.0
2021-33	PSH	Ability Housing, Inc	Central Florida Villages PSH		205.9	Y	Y	10.0	10.0	15.0	5.0	#	5.0	#	4.0	#	2.5	17.0	#	2.0
2021-42	PSH	Community Assisted and Supported Living,			174.2	Y	Y	10.0	10.0	5.5	2.5	#	2.0	#	2.0	#	5.0	17.0	#	2.0
2021-31	RRH	IMPOWER, Inc	RRH/Youth -Reallocation		137.3	Y	Y	0.0	0.0	14.0	6.0	#	5.0	#	5.0	#	5.0	17.0	#	2.0
2021-54	RRH	HSN	HSN RRH Rent Assistance New Reallocation or Bonus		0.0	Y	Y	0.0		0.0				#		#		0.0	43	
2021-55	PSH	HSN	HSN PSH Housing portion of Reallocation		0.0	Y	Y	0.0		0.0				#		#		0.0		

Section 8			Section 9					Section 10					Section 11				Section 12		Section 13							
Prioritization Based on	Families with Children, Unaccompanied	CES MOU and CES Continuity	CoC Involvement and Engagement	2022 PIT Count and submitted 2021 HIC	COC membership	COC committee participation	HMIS Policies and Procedures	Increasing access to Mainstream	Increasing access to mainstream benefits	Access to Mainstream	Access to Mainstream Benefits- MOU w/ SOAR Provider	Access To Transportation	School Liaison	Program and Financial	Auditor's Report	Repay/Return Grant	HUD Unresolved Findings	Project Budget	Project Budget	Project Performance and Alignment with SPM	Housing Stability	Exits to Homelessness	Increase Income	Utilization Rate	Project's Data Quality	Cost Effectiveness
Total	5	5	Total	3	3	3	5	Total	3	2	3	2	2	Total	10	5	5	Total	5	Total	15	15	12	13	5	5
5	5	0	10.7	3	3	3	1.7	12	3	2	3	2	2	20	10	5	5	4	4.3	42	7	15	8	0	5	2
5	0	5	14	3	3	3	5	8	3	2	3	0	0	18	10	3	5	3	3	57	15	15	0	13	5	4

Section 8			Section 9					Section 10					Section 11				Section 12		Section 13								
Prioritization Based on	Families with Children, Unaccompanied	CES MOU and CES Continuity	CoC Involvement and Engagement	2022 PIT Count and submitted 2021 HIC	COC membership	COC committee participation	HMIS Policies and Procedures	Increasing access to Mainstream	Increasing access to mainstream benefits	Access to Mainstream	Access to Mainstream Benefits- MOU w/ SOAR Provider	Access To Transportation	School Liaison	Program and Financial	Auditor's Report	Repay/Return Grant	HUD Unresolved Findings	Project Budget	Project Budget	Project Performance and Alignment with SPM	Housing Stability	Exits to Homelessness	Increase Income	Utilization Rate	Project's Data Quality	Cost Effectiveness	
Total	5	5	Total	3	3	3	5	Total	3	2	3	2	2	Total	10	5	5	Total	5	Total	15	15	12	13	5	5	
#	5.0	5.0	14.0	3.0	#	3.0	5.0	12.0	3.0	#	3.0	2.0	2.0	15.0	5.0	#	5.0	#	5.0	47.0	15.0	7.0	5.0	5.0	#	5.0	
#	5.0	2.5	14.0	3.0	#	3.0	5.0	10.5	3.0	#	2.5	2.0	1.0	20.0	10.0	#	5.0	#	5.0	73.0	15.0	15.0	12.0	13.0	#	3.0	
#	5.0	2.5	10.5	2.5	#	3.0	2.0	11.0	2.5	#	2.5	2.0	2.0	20.0	10.0	#	5.0	#	5.0	73.0	15.0	15.0	12.0	13.0	#	3.0	
#	3.3	3.3	8.0	3.0	#	0.0	5.0	10.0	3.0	#	3.0	2.0	0.0	20.0	10.0	#	5.0	#	5.0	41.0	7.0	7.0	12.0	0.0	#	5.0	
#	5.0	2.5	14.0	3.0	#	3.0	5.0	12.0	3.0	#	3.0	2.0	2.0	20.0	10.0	#	5.0	#	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	#	0.0	
#			0.0					0.0						0.0				#		0.0	Page 42				44		
#			0.0					0.0						0.0				#		0.0							

Section 14					Section 15			Section 17							Section 18	
Inclusive Structure and Participation.	Promotion of Racial Equity	COVID-19	Gender Inclusion/Non-	Participation by population served	New Projects: Key Information	Project Timeline	New Projects: Narrative for Supportive Services	Housing First and Housing First Competency	Housing Navigation and/or Housing Stability Case	Trauma Informed Care	Additional Supportive Services: Volunteerism and or	DV Experience Narrative	DV Safety Planning	New Projects: Narrative for Housing	Project Scope	
Total	10	5	3	3	Total	3	Total	5	5	3	2	10	10	Total	5	
19	10	3	3	3	0	0	28	3.7	4.3	2.7	0.7	8.3	8.3	3.7	3.7	
11	7	0	2	1.7	1	1	11.3	2	5	2.3	0.3	1.7	0	2	2	

Section 14					Section 15			Section 17							Section 18	
Inclusive Structure and Participation.	Promotion of Racial Equity	COVID-19	Gender Inclusion/Non-	Participation by population served	New Projects: Key Information	Project Timeline	New Projects: Narrative for Supportive Services	Housing First and Housing First Competency	Housing Navigation and/or Housing Stability Case	Trauma Informed Care	Additional Supportive Services: Volunteerism and or	Permanent Housing and Healthcare Project, detailed and	Permanent Housing and Healthcare Project Match; Units	Permanent Housing and Healthcare Project Match; Health	New Projects: Narrative for Housing	Project Scope
Total	10	5	3	3	Total	3	Total	5	5	3	2	10	5	5	Total	5
21.0	10.0	5.0	#	3.0	3.0	3.0	31.7	4.7	4.0	2.3	1.0	9.7	5.0	5.0	4.3	4.3
12.4	4.7	3.7	#	2.3	3.0	3.0	18.6	4.3	2.3	1.7	1.3	9.0	0.0	0.0	5.0	5.0
12.3	3.0	4.0	#	2.3	3.0	3.0	11.9	3.3	1.8	2.5	1.0	3.3	0.0	0.0	3.3	3.3
13.7	3.0	4.7	#	3.0	3.0	3.0	22.7	4.7	4.7	3.0	2.0	8.3	0.0	0.0	4.7	4.7
19.0	9.0	4.0	#	3.0	3.0	3.0	14.5	1.5	3.0	3.0	2.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	3.3	3.3
0.0					0.0		0.0								0.0	
0.0					0.0		0.0								0.0	

App. ID	Project Type	Agency Name	Project Name	EDA #s	Application Score Grand Total	Section 1		Section 3		Section 4		Section 5		Section 6		Section 7
						Section A - Project Renewal Threshold Criteria Y/N	Signature of Authorized Representative Y/N	Section 3 - Key Description- Housing Total	Number of Housing Units 10	Section 4 - Key Description-SS Total	Direct Practice Skills Training 10	Section Geographic Coverage Total	Geographic area coverage 6	Target Population(s) and Service to Sub-populations Total	No Scoring Criteria	Housing First/Zero Barrier Approach Total
2021-48	PSH	Grand Avenue Economic Community Dev. Corp.	Grand Avenue Economic Community Development Corp-Home New Beginning-Renewal		200.0	Y	Y	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	2.0	2.0			20.0
2021-51	PSH	Homeless Services Network of Central Florida, Inc.	HSN Op & Serv Renewal		192.3	Y	Y	7.3	7.3	10.0	10.0	2.0	2.0			19.0
2021-50	PSH	Homeless Services Network of Central Florida, Inc.	Homeless Services Network-HUD Leasing:PSH		184.3	Y	Y	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	6.0	6.0			19.6
2021-37	PSH	Homeless Services Network of Central Florida, Inc.	Homeless Services Network-HUD Rental Assistance:PSH		184.0	Y	Y	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	6.0	6.0			20.0
2021-41	PSH	Seminole County	Seminole County Shelter Plus Care		182.6	Y	Y	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	1.0	1.0			20.0
2021-53	RRH	Homeless Services Network of Central Florida, Inc.	HSN RRH2 Renewal		178.0	Y	Y	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	6.0	6.0			19.5
2021-43	TH	Covenant House Florida, Inc.	Covenant House Florida ROPAL TH		177.0	Y	Y	3.0	3.0	10.0	10.0	6.0	6.0			20.0
2021-45	PSH	Orange County Government	Orange County Shelter Plus Care Renewal Application		175.0	Y	Y	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	2.0	2.0			15.0
2021-52	RRH	Homeless Services Network of Central Florida, Inc.	HSN RRH1 Renewal		159.8	Y	Y	6.0	6.0	10.0	10.0	6.0	6.0			20.0
2021-47	PSH	Embrace Families Solutions Inc.	Embrace Families Solutions Inc. + PSH Beacon of Light + Renewal Application		158.0	Y	Y	3.0	3.0	10.0	10.0	1.0	1.0			20.0
2021-49	PSH	Osceola County Board of County Commissioners	Osceola County Government Shelter+Care		155.5	Y	Y	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	2.0	2.0			15.0
2021-44	SSO	Covenant House Florida, Inc.	Covenant House Florida- CMO/SSO- Renewal Application		133.0	Y	Y	0.0	0.0	10.0	10.0	6.0	6.0			15.0

Section 8

Section 9

Section 10

App. ID	Project Type	Agency Name	Project Name	EDA #s	7		Section 8		Section 9					Section 10			
					Housing First	Client Satisfaction Surveys	Prioritization Based on Need	Street Homeless Placements: %	CoC Involvement and Engagement	2022 PIT Count and submitted 2021 HIC	COC membership participation	COC committee participation	HMIS Policies and Procedures	Increasing access to	Access to Mainstream Benefits- DCF	ACCESS to Mainstream Benefits- MOU w/ SOAR Provider	Access To Transportation
					15	5	Total	10	Total	5	5	5	5	Total	5	5	5
2021-48	PSH	Grand Avenue Economic Community Dev. Corp.	Grand Avenue Economic Community Development Corp-Home New Beginning-Renewal		15.0	5.0	10.0	10.0	20.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	17.5	5.0	5.0	5.0
2021-51	PSH	Homeless Services Network of Central Florida, Inc.	HSN Op & Serv Renewal		14.0	5.0	10.0	10.0	20.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	20.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
2021-50	PSH	Homeless Services Network of Central Florida, Inc.	Homeless Services Network-HUD Leasing:PSH		14.6	5.0	10.0	10.0	20.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	18.3	5.0	5.0	3.3
2021-37	PSH	Homeless Services Network of Central Florida, Inc.	Homeless Services Network-HUD Rental Assistance:PSH		15.0	5.0	10.0	10.0	20.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	10.0	5.0	0.0	5.0
2021-41	PSH	Seminole County	Seminole County Shelter Plus Care		15.0	5.0	10.0	10.0	20.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	20.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
2021-53	RRH	Homeless Services Network of Central Florida, Inc.	HSN RRH2 Renewal		14.5	5.0	10.0	10.0	20.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	20.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
2021-43	TH	Covenant House Florida, Inc.	Covenant House Florida ROPAL TH		15.0	5.0	10.0	10.0	20.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	20.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
2021-45	PSH	Orange County Government	Orange County Shelter Plus Care Renewal Application		15.0	0.0	10.0	10.0	20.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	15.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
2021-52	RRH	Homeless Services Network of Central Florida, Inc.	HSN RRH1 Renewal		15.0	5.0	10.0	10.0	20.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	20.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
2021-47	PSH	Embrace Families Solutions Inc.	Embrace Families Solutions Inc. + PSH Beacon of Light + Renewal Application		15.0	5.0	10.0	10.0	20.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	20.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
2021-49	PSH	Osceola County Board of County Commissioners	Osceola County Government Shelter+Care		15.0	0.0	10.0	10.0	20.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	15.0	5.0	2.5	5.0
2021-44	SSO	Covenant House Florida, Inc.	Covenant House Florida- CMO/SSO- Renewal Application		15.0	0.0	10.0	10.0	20.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	20.0	5.0	5.0	5.0

App. ID	Project Type	Agency Name	Project Name	EDA #s	School Liaison	Section 11						Section 12		Section 13			
						Program and Financial	Auditor's Report	Unspent HUD Funds	Repay/Return Grant Funds	HUD Unresolved Findings	Filing of APR	Project Budget	No scoring Criteria	Project Performance and Alignment with SPM	Housing Stability	Exits to Homelessness	Increase Income
					5	Total	10	10	5	5	5	Total		Total	20	10	10
2021-48	PSH	Grand Avenue Economic Community Dev. Corp.	Grand Avenue Economic Community Development Corp-Home New Beginning-Renewal	2.5		33.0	10.0	8.0	5.0	5	5			60.0	20.0	10.0	10.0
2021-51	PSH	Homeless Services Network of Central Florida, Inc.	HSN Op & Serv Renewal	5.0		28.0	5.0	8.0	5.0	5	5			53.0	11.0	6.0	10.0
2021-50	PSH	Homeless Services Network of Central Florida, Inc.	Homeless Services Network-HUD Leasing:PSH	5.0		30.0	5.0	10.0	5.0	5	5			41.0	20.0	6.0	5.0
2021-37	PSH	Homeless Services Network of Central Florida, Inc.	Homeless Services Network-HUD Rental Assistance:PSH	0.0		28.0	5.0	8.0	5.0	5	5			46.0	20.0	4.0	5.0
2021-41	PSH	Seminole County	Seminole County Shelter Plus Care	5.0		30.0	5.0	10.0	5.0	5	5			48.0	20.0	10.0	10.0
2021-53	RRH	Homeless Services Network of Central Florida, Inc.	HSN RRH2 Renewal	5.0		30.0	5.0	10.0	5.0	5	5			29.0	3.0	6.0	5.0
2021-43	TH	Covenant House Florida, Inc.	Covenant House Florida ROPAL TH	5.0		28.0	5.0	8.0	5.0	5	5			45.0	0.0	10.0	5.0
2021-45	PSH	Orange County Government	Orange County Shelter Plus Care Renewal Application	0.0		28.0	5.0	8.0	5.0	5	5			55.0	20.0	8.0	10.0
2021-52	RRH	Homeless Services Network of Central Florida, Inc.	HSN RRH1 Renewal	5.0		25.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5	5			20.0	3.0	6.0	0.0
2021-47	PSH	Embrace Families Solutions Inc.	Embrace Families Solutions Inc. + PSH Beacon of Light + Renewal Application	5.0		35.0	10.0	10.0	5.0	5	5			30.0	0.0	0.0	5.0
2021-49	PSH	Osceola County Board of County Commissioners	Osceola County Government Shelter+Care	2.5		25.0	10.0	0.0	5.0	5	5			40.0	20.0	0.0	10.0
2021-44	SSO	Covenant House Florida, Inc.	Covenant House Florida- CMO/SSO- Renewal Application	5.0		30.0	5.0	10.0	5.0	5	5			5.0			

Section 14

App. ID	Project Type	Agency Name	Project Name	EDA #s	Utilization Rate	Project's Data Quality	Cost Effectiveness	Section 14					Total points available
					10	25	5	Inclusive Structure and Participation.	Promotion of Racial Equity	COVID-19	Gender Inclusion/Non-Discrimination Policy	Participation by population served	
					10	25	5	Total	10	5	5	5	256
2021-48	PSH	Grand Avenue Economic Community Dev. Corp.	Grand Avenue Economic Community Development Corp-Home New Beginning-Renewal		5.0	10.0	5.0	17.5	3.5	5.0	5.0	4.0	
2021-51	PSH	Homeless Services Network of Central Florida, Inc.	HSN Op & Serv Renewal		2.0	20.0	4.0	23.0	10.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	
2021-50	PSH	Homeless Services Network of Central Florida, Inc.	Homeless Services Network-HUD Leasing:PSH		0.0	5.0	5.0	19.3	7.3	4.0	4.0	4.0	
2021-37	PSH	Homeless Services Network of Central Florida, Inc.	Homeless Services Network-HUD Rental Assistance:PSH		10.0	5.0	2.0	24.0	10.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	
2021-41	PSH	Seminole County	Seminole County Shelter Plus Care		0.0	5.0	3.0	13.6	6.3	0.0	5.0	2.3	
2021-53	RRH	Homeless Services Network of Central Florida, Inc.	HSN RRH2 Renewal		10.0	0.0	5.0	23.5	8.5	5.0	5.0	5.0	
2021-43	TH	Covenant House Florida, Inc.	Covenant House Florida ROPAL TH		10.0	15.0	5.0	15.0	4.0	4.3	3.3	3.5	
2021-45	PSH	Orange County Government	Orange County Shelter Plus Care Renewal Application		10.0	5.0	2.0	10.0	1.0	2.0	5.0	2.0	
2021-52	RRH	Homeless Services Network of Central Florida, Inc.	HSN RRH1 Renewal		8.0	0.0	3.0	22.8	8.5	5.0	4.5	4.8	
2021-47	PSH	Embrace Families Solutions Inc.	Embrace Families Solutions Inc. + PSH Beacon of Light + Renewal Application		10.0	10.0	5.0	9.0	1.0	0.0	5.0	3.0	
2021-49	PSH	Osceola County Board of County Commissioners	Osceola County Government Shelter+Care		2.0	5.0	3.0	8.5	3.0	0.0	5.0	0.5	
2021-44	SSO	Covenant House Florida, Inc.	Covenant House Florida- CMO/SSO- Renewal Application				5.0	17.0	4.0	4.3	4.3	4.5	



App. ID	Project Type	Agency Name	Project Name	EDA #s	Application Score	Section 1		Section 3		Section 4				Section 5		Section 6	
						Section A - Project Renewal Threshold Criteria	Signature of Authorized Representative	Section 3 - Key Description-Housing	Number of Housing Units	Section 4 - Key Description-SS	Direct Practice Skills Training	Field Based Services	Supportive Services Plan	Section Geographic Coverage	Geographic area coverage	Target Population(s) and Service to Sub-Populations	
					Grand Total	Y/N	Y/N	Total	10	Total	6	5	5	Total	6	Total	
2021-46	RRH	Seminole County Victims' Rights Coalition	Project IMAGINE		193.4	Y	Y	10.0	10.0	16.0	6.0	5.0	5.0	6.0	6.0	1.7	
2021-36	RRH	Catholic Charities of Central Florida	DV Bonus Project		174	Y	Y	6	6	12	11.7	6	4	2	23	6	5

App. ID	Project Type	Agency Name	Project Name	EDA #s	5	Section 7			Section 8			Section 9					Increasing access to Main
					Priority Population-Applicable Sub-Populations	Housing First/Zero Barrier Approach	Housing First	Client Satisfaction Surveys	Prioritization Based on Need	Families with Children, Unaccompanied Youth, Family Unity	CES MOU and CES Continuity	CoC Involvement and Engagement	2022 PIT Count and submitted 2021 HIC	COC membership participation	COC committee participation	HMIS Policies and Procedures	
					5	Total	15	2	Total	5	5	Total	3	3	3	5	Total
2021-46	RRH	Seminole County Victims' Rights Coalition	Project IMAGINE		1.7	15.0	15.0	0.0	5.0	5.0	0.0	10.7	3.0	3.0	3.0	1.7	12.0
2021-36	RRH	Catholic Charities of Central Florida	DV Bonus Project		5	15	13	2	5	0	5	14	3	3	3	5	8

					Section 10					Section 11				Section 12		Section 13	
App. ID	Project Type	Agency Name	Project Name	EDA #s	Increasing access to mainstream benefits	Access to Mainstream Benefits- DCF ACCESS	Access to Mainstream Benefits- MOU w/ SOAR Provider	Access To Transportation	School Liaison	Program and Financial Ma	Auditor's Report	Repay/Return Grant Funds	HUD Unresolved Findings	Project Budget	Project Budget	Project Performance and Alignment with SPM	Housing Stability
					3	2	3	2	2	Total	10	5	5	Total	5	Total	15
2021-46	RRH	Seminole County Victims' Rights Coalition	Project IMAGINE		3.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	20	10	5.0	5.0	4.3	4.3	42.0	7.0
2021-36	RRH	Catholic Charities of Central Florida	DV Bonus Project		3	2	3	0	0	18	10	3	5	3	3	57	15

					Section 14					Section 15		Section :					
App. ID	Project Type	Agency Name	Project Name	EDA #s	Exits to Homelessness	Increase Income	Utilization Rate	Project's Data Quality	Cost Effectiveness	Inclusive Structure and Participation.	Promotion of Racial Equity	COVID-19	Gender Inclusion/Non-	Participation by population served	New Projects: Key Information	Project Timeline	New Projects: Narrative for Supportive Services
					15	12	13	25	5	Total	10	5	3	3	Total	3	Total
2021-46	RRH	Seminole County Victims' Rights Coalition	Project IMAGINE		15.0	8.0	0.0	10.0	2.0	19.0	10.0	3.0	3	3	0	0	28.0
2021-36	RRH	Catholic Charities of Central Florida	DV Bonus Project		15	0	13	10	4	11	7	0	2	1.7	1	1	11.3

17

Section 18

App. ID	Project Type	Agency Name	Project Name	EDA #s	Housing First and Housing First Competency	Housing Navigation and/or Housing Stability Case Management	Trauma Informed Care	Additional Supportive Services: Volunteerism and or Employment	DV Experience Narrative	DV Safety Planning	New Projects: Narrative for Housing	Project Scope	Total points available
					5	5	3	2	10	10	Total	5	264
2021-46	RRH	Seminole County Victims' Rights Coalition	Project IMAGINE		3.7	4.3	2.7	0.7	8.3	8.3	3.7	3.7	
2021-36	RRH	Catholic Charities of Central Florida	DV Bonus Project		2	5	2.3	0.3	1.7	0	2	2	

App. ID	Project Type	Agency Name	Project Name	EDA #s	Application Score	Section 1		Section 3		Section 4				Section 5		Section 6
						Section A - Project Renewal Threshold Criteria	Signature of Authorized Representative	Section 3 - Key Description-Housing	Number of Housing Units	Section 4 - Key Description-SS	Direct Practice Skills Training	Field Based Services	Supportive Services Plan	Section Geographic Coverage	Geographic area coverage	Target Population(s) and Service to Sub-Populations
					Grand Total	Y/N	Y/N	Total	10	Total	6	5	5	Total	6	Total
2021-56	PSH	HSN	HSN Housing and Health Care PSH Reallocation or Bonus		211.5	Y	Y	10.0	10.0	12.5	6.0	5.0	1.5	4.0	4.0	5.0
2021-40	PSH	Ability Housing, Inc	Central Florida Villages PSH		208.1	Y	Y	10.0	10.0	6.6	1.5	3.8	1.3	3.0	3.0	2.5
2021-33	PSH	Ability Housing, Inc	Central Florida Villages PSH		205.9	Y	Y	10.0	10.0	15.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	2.5
2021-42	PSH	Community Assisted and Supported Living, Inc.			174.2	Y	Y	10.0	10.0	5.5	2.5	1.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	5.0
2021-31	RRH	IMPOWER, Inc	RRH/Youth -Reallocation		137.3	Y	Y	0.0	0.0	14.0	6.0	3.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
2021-54	RRH	HSN	HSN RRH Rent Assistance New Reallocation or Bonus		0.0	Y	Y	0.0		0.0				0.0		0.0
2021-55	PSH	HSN	HSN PSH Housing portion of Reallocation or New or Bonus		0.0	Y	Y	0.0		0.0				0.0		0.0

App. ID	Project Type	Agency Name	Project Name	EDA #s	5	Section 7			Section 8			Section 9					Increasing access to Main
					Priority Population-Applicable Sub-Populations	Housing First/Zero Barrier Approach	Housing First	Client Satisfaction Surveys	Prioritization Based on Need	Families with Children, Unaccompanied Youth, Family Unity	CES MOU and CES Continuity	CoC Involvement and Engagement	2022 PIT Count and submitted 2021 HIC	COC membership participation	COC committee participation	HMIS Policies and Procedures	
					5	Total	15	2	Total	5	5	Total	3	3	3	5	Total
2021-56	PSH	HSN	HSN Housing and Health Care PSH Reallocation or Bonus		5.0	17.0	15.0	2.0	10.0	5.0	5.0	14.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	5.0	12.0
2021-40	PSH	Ability Housing, Inc	Central Florida Villages PSH		2.5	17.0	15.0	2.0	7.5	5.0	2.5	14.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	5.0	10.5
2021-33	PSH	Ability Housing, Inc	Central Florida Villages PSH		2.5	17.0	15.0	2.0	7.5	5.0	2.5	10.5	2.5	3.0	3.0	2.0	11.0
2021-42	PSH	Community Assisted and Supported Living, Inc.			5.0	17.0	15.0	2.0	6.6	3.3	3.3	8.0	3.0	0.0	0.0	5.0	10.0
2021-31	RRH	IMPOWER, Inc	RRH/Youth -Reallocation		5.0	17.0	15.0	2.0	7.5	5.0	2.5	14.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	5.0	12.0
2021-54	RRH	HSN	HSN RRH Rent Assistance New Reallocation or Bonus			0.0			0.0			0.0					0.0
2021-55	PSH	HSN	HSN PSH Housing portion of Reallocation or New or Bonus			0.0			0.0			0.0					0.0

					Section 10					Section 11				Section 12		Section 13	
App. ID	Project Type	Agency Name	Project Name	EDA #s	Increasing access to mainstream benefits	Access to Mainstream Benefits- DCF ACCESS	Access to Mainstream Benefits- MOU w/ SOAR Provider	Access To Transportation	School Liaison	Program and Financial Ma	Auditor's Report	Repay/Return Grant Funds	HUD Unresolved Findings	Project Budget	Project Budget	Project Performance and Alignment with SPM	Housing Stability
					3	2	3	2	2	Total	10	5	5	Total	5	Total	15
2021-56	PSH	HSN	HSN Housing and Health Care PSH Reallocation or Bonus		3.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	15	5	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	47.0	15.0
2021-40	PSH	Ability Housing, Inc	Central Florida Villages PSH		3.0	2.0	2.5	2.0	1.0	20.0	10.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	73.0	15.0
2021-33	PSH	Ability Housing, Inc	Central Florida Villages PSH		2.5	2.0	2.5	2.0	2.0	20	10	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	73.0	15.0
2021-42	PSH	Community Assisted and Supported Living, Inc.			3.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	0.0	20.0	10.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	41.0	7.0
2021-31	RRH	IMPOWER, Inc	RRH/Youth -Reallocation		3.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	20	10	5.0	5.0	3.0	3.0	0.0	0.0
2021-54	RRH	HSN	HSN RRH Rent Assistance New Reallocation or Bonus							0.0				0.0		0.0	
2021-55	PSH	HSN	HSN PSH Housing portion of Reallocation or New or Bonus							0				0.0		0.0	



App. ID	Project Type	Agency Name	Project Name	EDA #s						Section 14					Section 15		Section :
					Exits to Homelessness	Increase Income	Utilization Rate	Project's Data Quality	Cost Effectiveness	Inclusive Structure and Participation.	Promotion of Racial Equity	COVID-19	Gender Inclusion/Non-	Participation by population served	New Projects: Key Information	Project Timeline	New Projects: Narrative for Supportive Services
					15	12	13	25	5	Total	10	5	3	3	Total	3	Total
2021-56	PSH	HSN	HSN Housing and Health Care PSH Reallocation or Bonus		7.0	5.0	5.0	10.0	5.0	21.0	10.0	5.0	3	3	3	3	31.7
2021-40	PSH	Ability Housing, Inc	Central Florida Villages PSH		15.0	12.0	13.0	15.0	3.0	12.4	4.7	3.7	1.7	2.3	3.0	3.0	18.6
2021-33	PSH	Ability Housing, Inc	Central Florida Villages PSH		15.0	12.0	13.0	15.0	3.0	12.3	3.0	4.0	3	2.3	3	3	11.9
2021-42	PSH	Community Assisted and Supported Living, Inc.			7.0	12.0	0.0	10.0	5.0	13.7	3.0	4.7	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	22.7
2021-31	RRH	IMPOWER, Inc	RRH/Youth -Reallocation		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	19.0	9.0	4.0	3	3	3	3	14.5
2021-54	RRH	HSN	HSN RRH Rent Assistance New Reallocation or Bonus							0.0					0.0		0.0
2021-55	PSH	HSN	HSN PSH Housing portion of Reallocation or New or Bonus							0.0					0		0.0

17

Section 18

App. ID	Project Type	Agency Name	Project Name	EDA #s	Housing First and Housing First Competency	Housing Navigation and/or Housing Stability Case Management	Trauma Informed Care	Additional Supportive Services: Volunteerism and or Employment	Permanent Housing and Healthcare Project, detailed and comprehensive	Permanent Housing and Healthcare Project Match; Units	Permanent Housing and Healthcare Project Match; Health Services	New Projects: Narrative for Housing	Project Scope	Total points available
					5	5	3	2	10	5	5	Total	5	258
2021-56	PSH	HSN	HSN Housing and Health Care PSH Reallocation or Bonus		4.7	4.0	2.3	1.0	9.7	5.0	5.0	4.3	4.3	
2021-40	PSH	Ability Housing, Inc	Central Florida Villages PSH		4.3	2.3	1.7	1.3	9.0	0.0	0.0	5.0	5.0	
2021-33	PSH	Ability Housing, Inc	Central Florida Villages PSH		3.3	1.8	2.5	1.0	3.3	0.0	0.0	3.3	3.3	
2021-42	PSH	Community Assisted and Supported Living, Inc.			4.7	4.7	3.0	2.0	8.3	0.0	0.0	4.7	4.7	
2021-31	RRH	IMPOWER, Inc	RRH/Youth -Reallocation		1.5	3.0	3.0	2.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	3.3	3.3	
2021-54	RRH	HSN	HSN RRH Rent Assistance New Reallocation or Bonus									0.0		
2021-55	PSH	HSN	HSN PSH Housing portion of Reallocation or New or Bonus									0.0		