FL 507 - Local Competition Scoring

Category	Renewal	New/ Bonus	DV Bonus
Applicant Financial Capacity	30	20	20
Project Performance	60	65	65
Serving Priority Populations	20	20	20
HMIS Data Quality	30	30	30
Commitment to CFCH Priorities	50	50	50
Geographic Coverage	6	6	6
Project Design	46	71	71
Bonus - created through reallocation or CoC Bonus		20	
DV Expertise			20
Total Possible Points	**242**	**282**	**282**

Scoring Overview - Renewal

As determined by the CoC Governance Board, community priority will be given to eligible projects in the following order: Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH), Rapid Rehousing (RRH), Youth-Specific Transitional Housing (TH) or Supportive Services Only (SSO) Renewals, Transitional Housing- Rapid Rehousing (TH-RRH), Essential System Supports Coordinated Entry System (CES) and Essential System Supports Homeless Management Information System (HMIS).

All projects will be scored utilizing the following materials: Project application; HUD CoC APR; 2022 HIC; and HMIS System. APR information will be pulled for the following date range: July 1 2021 - June 15, 2022.

Section A: Project Renewal Threshold Criteria (Section I of the Application)		
Eligibility Criteria	Yes	No
1. Projects applicant and subrecipient are eligible entities and project is in compliance with CoC Interim Rule and subsequent notices, including threshold requirements outlined in the 2022 Notice of Funding Opportunity		
2. Agreed to Participate in HMIS or if project is Domestic Violence or Human Trafficking project, must adhere with HMIS data and technical standards within a comparable database.		
3. Applicant has Active SAM registration with current information.This is required only if your agency will have a contract directly with HUD.		
4. Applicant has Valid DUNS number in application.This is required only if your agency will have a contract directly with HUD.		
5. Applicant has no Outstanding Delinquent Federal Debts- unless: (a) A negotiated repayment schedule is established and the repayment schedule is not delinquent, or (b) Other arrangements satisfactory to HUD are made before the award of funds by HUD.		
6. Applicant has no Debarments and/or Suspensions -or is proposed to be debarred or suspended from doing business with the Federal Government.		
7. Applicant has disclosed any violations of Federal criminal law - Applicants must disclose in a timely manner, in writing to HUD, all violations of Federal criminal law involving fraud, bribery, or gratuity violations potentially affecting the Federal award.		
8. Applicant has demonstrated the population to be served meets program eligibility requirements.		
9. Applicant complies with headquarter and field office directives. Applicant met HUD Expectations - When considering renewal projects for award, HUD will review information in eLOCCS; Annual Performance Reports (APRs); and information provided from the local HUD CPD Field Office, including monitoring reports and A-133 audit reports as applicable, and performance standards on prior grants.		

10. Applicant demonstrates fiscal and administrative capacity to expend, track and report on grant awards.		
11. Applicant will participate in and accept 100% of referrals from Coordinated Entry, when it is available for the project type.		
12. Applicant agrees to practice and montior fidelity to Housing First principles and be low barrier, and score equals or greater than ten (10) on Housing First question Section 7 of Application.		
13. Project has documented the required matching funds		
14. Project is financially feasible.		
15. Applicant is active CoC participant.		
16. Commit to prioritizing eligible families with children and/or unaccompanied youth assigned through CES, prioritizing clients for assistance based on CoC-wide established criteria and will not deny any family assistance to the Project, or separate the members of the family, as a condition of entry.		
17. Documented organizational financial stability, audit shows agency has no major findings. Financial audit completed within previous 24 months of submission date.		
18. Applicant has a Code of Conduct which complies with 2 CFR part 200		

Section B: Project Financial- 30 Points			
Measurement	**Source**	**Data Calculations**	**Scoring Values**
Financials	Audited Financials and 990 submitted as attachment	Review of Auditor's Report	Total Points Possible: 10 No Findings ADD 10pts. If there were minor findings or findings resolved ADD 5 pts. If findings are deemed "significant" then 0 pts.
Unspent HUD Funds	Project Application Section 12	Project's last full grant year shows unspent HUD COC funds	Total Points Possible: 10 spending at 97-100% = 10 points; 91-96% = 8 points; 85-90%=5 points; less than 85% = 0 points
Repay/Return Grant Funds	Project Application Section 12	Applicant Returned funds to HUD or other federal or state agency within 2 years.	Total Points Possible: 5 No funds returned – ADD 5 pts. If Yes, Explanation addresses all concerns = 3 Explanation addresses some concerns = 1 Explanation fails to address concerns = 0

HUD Unresolved Findings	Project Application Section 12	Has no outstanding obligation/debt to HUD in arrears	Total Points Possible: 5 No outstanding debt – ADD 5 pts. If Yes, documented payment schedule = 2 If Yes and no documented payment schedule then project is ineligible for funding

Section C: Project Performance- 60 Points			
Measurement	**Source**	**Data Calculations**	**Scoring Values**
PSH Housing Stability: % of persons who remained in the PH project at the end of the operating year or exited to a permanent housing destination	HUD CoC APR or Section 14. Verified by HMIS Lead	Percentage of the Total number of Retained Clients + Clients with Positive Exits out of the Total Non-Deceased Clients Served	Total Points Possible: 10 90% + = 10 pts 85% -89% = 5 pts 80% - 84% = 3 pts < 80% = 0 pts
RRH and TH Housing Stability: % of persons who exited to a positive housing destination	HUD CoC APR or Section 14. Verified by HMIS Lead	Total persons exiting to positive housing destinations. Numerator is the number exited to stable housing and the demonitor is total exits.	Total Points Possible: 10 90% + = 10 pts 80% -89% = 5 pts 70% - 79% = 3 pts < 70% = 0 pts
Exits to Homelessness: % of program exits to another homeless destination	HUD CoC APR or Section 14. Verified by HMIS Lead	Percentage of exits to place not meant for human habitation, emergency shelter, including hotel or motel paid for with emergency shelter voucher, safe haven or transitional housing	Total Points Possible: 10 5% or less = 10 pts 6% - 10% = 8 pts 11% - 15% = 6 pts 16% - 20% = 4 pts > 20% = 0 pts

Measurement	Source	Data Calculations	Scoring Values
Length of Time to Housing: # of days from program enrollment to permanent housing	HMIS	Number of days from time households is assigned to project by CES to the move in date	Total Points Possible: 10 100 days or less = 10 pts 100.1 - 120 days = 8 pts 120.1 - 140 days = 6 pts 140.1 - 160 = 4 pts 160.1 - 180 = 2 pts <180 days = 0 pts
Earned Income Total: % of program participants who increased their earned income as shown on the last APR	HUD CoC APR or Section 14. Verified by HMIS Lead	The percentage of stayers/leavers that increase cash earned income from entry to latest annual assessment/exit, excluding all stayers without annual assessments	Total Points Possible: 10 IF PSH Project 20% + = 10 pts 25% - 19% =7 pts 10% - 18% =4 pts 5% - 9% =4 pts < 05% = 0 pts IF RRH or TH Project 40% + =10 pts 39% -30% = 8 pts 29% - 20% =6 pts 19% - 10% =4 pts < 10% = 0 pts
Unearned Income Total: % of program participants who increased their non-employment income (including non-cash benefits) as shown on the last APR	HUD CoC APR or Section 14. Verified by HMIS Lead	The percentage of stayers/leavers with noncash benefit sources, excluding all stayers without annual assessments.	Total Points Possible: 10 40% + = 10 pts 30% - 39% =7 pts 20% - 29% =4 pts < 19% = 0 pts
Utilization Rate: % of utilization reported on APR	HUD CoC APR or Section 14. Verified by HMIS Lead	The utilization rate (% of units occupied) for applicant program.	Total Points Possible: 10 95%+ = 10 pts <95%-90% = 8 pts <90%-85% = 5 pts <85%-80% = 2 pts < 80% = 0 pt
Section D Serving Priority Populations - 20 pts			
Measurement	**Source**	**Data Calculations**	**Scoring Values**

Measurement	Report	Data Calculations	Scoring Values
Street Homeless Placements: % of program participants entering from a place not meant for human habitation	HUD CoC APR Verified by HMIS Lead	The percentage of participants entering the project for the grant year that are from a place not meant for human habitation	Total Points Possible: 10 IF PSH or RRH Project 100% + = 10 pts < 100% = 0 pts IF TH Project 100% + = 10 pts < 100% = 0 pts
Promotion of Racial Equity	Section 15	The degree to which the project reviewed program participant outcomes with an equity lens, has identified barriers to participation by persons of different races and ethnicities and has taken steps to eliminate the identified barriers including policies and processes to address racial disparities	Total Points Possible: 10 ADD 2 pts. For each question a, b, c, d and e in Section 15 answered yes and has fully described their answers.

Section E: HMIS Data Quality - 30 Points			
Measurement	**Report**	**Data Calculations**	**Scoring Values**
Project's Data Quality:	HUD CoC APR Verified by HMIS Lead	Includes data on entry of PII, disabling condition, timeliness of data entry, income data, and exit data	Total Points Possible: 25 95% + = 25 pts 89% to 94 % = 20 pts. 83% to 88 % = 15 pts 77% to 82 % = 10 pts 76% to 71% = 5 pts. Under 71% = 0 pts
HMIS Policies and Procedures	Project Application Section 9	Answers Yes to Commitment to Future HMIS Continuity, Commitment to Future HMIS Bed/Services Inventory Continuity, Compliance with HMIS License and Training Requirements, Commitment to Ensure Participation in HMIS Annual Refresher Training and Commitment to Enter Universal Data Elements & Personal Identifying Information Into HMIS.	If YES to all Add 5 pts. NO to any application receives 0 pts.

Section F: Agency Commitment to COC Priorities - 50 points			
Measurement	**Report**	**Data Calculations**	**Scoring Values**

Alignment with Housing First Principles	Project Application Section 7	To what extent do the project's written policies and procedures ensure that participants are not screened out based on the application housing first criteria and termination policies?	Total Points Possible: 15 Receive 1 pt. for each NO answer in either column for numbers 1-15. Subtract 1 pts for each Yes answer in the Termination Table for EITHER column for answers 1-6 UNLESS, in the reviewers opinion, a justifiable reason was given for the termination
Type of Unit and Total Units	Project Application Section 3	PSH: Add 1 pt. for first 10 units and .25 for each additional unit (COC and Match funded) RRH: Add 1 pt. for first 10 units and .25 for each additional unit (COC and Match funded) YTH: Add 1 pt. for first 10 units and .25 for each additional unit (COC and Match funded)	Total Points Possible: 10
Filing of APR	APR will be verified by HSN	Applicant timely and successfully filed APR	Total Points Possible: 5 If filed on time receive full pts. If filed or late receive zero pts.
COC Participation	PIT and HIC Involvement Section 9 verified by HSN	Will commit to participation in 2023 PIT Count & participated in 2022 PIT	Total Points Possible: 5 PIT Participation = 5 pts
COC Participation	COC membership participation Section 9 verified by HSN	Sign Up Sheets (Virtual meeting logs) for CoC General Membership Participation	Total Points Possible: 5 If attended = 2-3, Add 2 pts. If attended = 4-7, Add 4 pts. If attended = 8 or more, Add 5 pts.
COC Participation	COC committee participation Section 9 verified by HSN	Sign Up Sheets (Virtual meeting logs) for CoC Committees Participation	Total Points Possible: 5 If attended = 2-3, Add 2 pts. If attended = 4-7, Add 4 pts. If attended = 8 or more, Add 5 pts.
Direct Practice Skills Training (e.g., Motivational Interviewing, Trauma-Informed Care, DV & Human Trafficking)	Participation in Training Section 4 verified by HSN	Sign Up Sheets (Virtual meeting logs) for CoC Sponsored Training	Total Points Possible: 10 If 100% of direct practice & program supervisory staff having served more 6 months attended at least 1 training; If 75% to get 5 points.

Section G - Geographic Coverage and Responsiveness to Jurisdictional Priorities - 6 Points			
Measurement	**Report**	**Data Calculations**	**Scoring Values**
Service Coverage of Jurisdiction	Application must specify any and all Jurisdictions covered Section 5	For each Jurisdiction Applicant Commits to Serve Clients from : ADD 1 point	Total Points Possible: 6
Section H - Project Design - 46 Possible Points			
Measurement	**Report**	**Data Calculations**	**Scoring Values**
Access to Mainstream Benefits	Project Application Section 10	Applicant or project partner serves as DCF ACCESS or community partner agrees to ensure enrollment in mainstream benefits	If YES, ADD 5 pts. If NO, but will perform same function, ADD 2 pts.
Access to Mainstream Benefits	Project Application Section 10	Applicant or project partner has on staff a SOAR Specialist or will enter MOU with SOAR Provider	If YES, ADD 5 pts.
Access to Transportation	Project Application Section 10	Applicant or project partner has committed to provide transportation and has described the approach and level of transportation.	If YES, ADD 5 pts.
School Liaison	Project Application Section 10	Project partner has committed to have a designated staff person whose responsibilities include ensuring children are enrolled in school and receive appropriate services as required	If YES, ADD 5 pts.
Cost Effectiveness	Project Application Section 3 (total beds) and Section 13 Total Income Budget	Project is cost effective Considered Elements: Cost per person served is comparable to COC average within project type. Divide Total Budget Income by Total Beds.	If YES, ADD 5 pts.

Client Satisfaction Surveys	Project Application Section 7	Award 5 points for a "Yes" response. If response is "No" then the project will score zero	If YES, ADD 5 pts.
COVID-19	Section 15	The Project has implemented safety protocols to address the safety needs of individuals and families participating in current projects and has identified project eligible individuals and families experiencing homelessness for COVID-19 vaccination based on local protocol.	If YES to both safety protocols and vaccinations and fully described each ADD 5 pts. If YES to 1 with a full description ADD 2pts. If NO = 0 pts
Gender Inclusion/Non-Discrimination Policy	Section 15	Applicant ensures inclusion and non-discrimination based on equal access criteria, including ensuring privacy, respect, safety, and access regardless of gender identity or sexual orientation.	If YES to both question j and k and fully described each ADD 5 pts. If YES to 1 with a full description ADD 2pts. If NO = 0 pts
Participation by population served	Project Application Section 7	Does the agency have a board of directors that includes representation from more than one person with lived experience? Written policies and procedures submitted by the project and narrative response demonstrating particpation by persons wiht lived expereince in program design and policy-making?	Total points: 6 14g - 1 point If yes 14g-2: 1 point if yes 14h - 1 point if yes 14i and 14j: 1.5 points each if response demonstrates participation by persons with lived experience in meaningful manner (scored by Lived Experience Council)

242 possible points

Scoring Overview - New and Bonus
As determined by the CoC Governance Board, community priority will be given to eligible projects in the following order: Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH), Rapid Rehousing (RRH), Youth-Specific Transitional Housing (TH) or Supportive Services Only (SSO) Renewals, Transitional Housing- Rapid Rehousing (TH-RRH), Essential System Supports Coordinated Entry System (CES) and Essential System Supports Homeless Management Information System (HMIS). All projects will be scored utilizing the following materials included below under the headings of: Project Application Threshold, Financial Data, Project Performance, Serviing Priority Populations, Committment to COC priorities, COC Participation, Geogrphic Coverage, Project Design, and Bonus points.

Section A: Project Application Threshold			
Eligibility Criteria	Yes	No	Scoring Values
1. Projects applicant and subrecepient are eligiable intities and project is in compliance with CoC Interim Rule and subsequent notices, including threshold requirements outlined in the 2022 Notice of Funding Opportunity			
2. Agreed to Participate in HMIS or if project is Domestic Violence or Human Trafficing project, must adhear with HMIS data and technical standards in a comprable database.			
3. Applicant has Active SAM registration with current information.This is required only if your agency will have a contract directly with HUD.			
4. Applicant has Valid DUNS number in application.This is required only if your agency will have a contract directly with HUD.			
5. Applicant has no Outstanding Delinquent Federal Debts- unless: (a) A negotiated repayment schedule is established and the repayment schedule is not delinquent, or (b) Other arrangements satisfactory to HUD are made before the award of funds by HUD.			
6. Applicant has no Debarments and/or Suspensions -or is proposed to be debarred or suspended from doing business with the Federal Government.			
7. Applicant has disclosed any violations of Federal criminal law - Applicants must disclose in a timely manner, in writing to HUD, all violations of Federal criminal law involving fraud, bribery, or gratuity violations potentially affecting the Federal award.			If any response is 'No' project is not eligible for review unless the criteria is not applicable to project type.
8. Applicant has demonstrated the population to be served meets program eligibility requirements.			
9. Applicant complies with headquarter and field office directives. Applicant met HUD Expectations - When considering renewal projects for award, HUD will review information in eLOCCS; Annual Performance Reports (APRs); and information provided from the local HUD CPD Field Office, including monitoring reports and A-133 audit reports as applicable, and performance standards on prior grants.			
10. Applicant demonstrates fiscal and administrative capacity to expend, track and report on grant awards. Financial audit within previous 24 months of submission date.			
11. Projects will participate in and accept 100% of referrals from Coordinated Entry, when it is available for the project type.			

12. Applicant agrees to practice and montior fidelity to Housing First principles and be low barrier, and scored = or > than 10 on Housing First question Section 7 of Application.		
13. Project has documented the required matching funds		
14. Project is financially feasible.		
15. Applicant is active CoC participant.		
16. Commit to prioritizing eligible families with children and/or unaccompanied youth assigned through CES, prioritizing clients for assistance based on CoC-wide established criteria and will not deny any family assistance to the Project, or separate the members of the family, as a condition of entry.		
17. Documented organizational financial stability, audit shows agency has no major findings. Financial audit completed within previous 24 months of submission date.		
18. Applicant has a Code of Conduct which complies with 2 CFR part 200		
19. Representation at Mandatory RFP Workshop		

Section B: Project Financial (20 Points)			
Measurement	**Source**	**Data Calculations**	**Scoring Values**
Financials	Audited Financials and 990 submitted as attachment	Review of Auditor's Report	Total Points Possible: 10 No Findings ADD 10pts. If there were minor findings or findings resolved ADD 5 pts. If findings are deemed "significant" then 0 pts.
Repay/Return Grant Funds	Project Application Section 12, question 12.5	Applicant Returned funds to HUD or other federal or state agency within 2 years.	Total Points Possible: 5 No funds returned – ADD 5 pts. If Yes, Explanation addresses all concerns = 3 Explanation addresses some concerns = 1 Explanation fails to address concerns = 0
HUD Unresolved Findings	Project Application Section 12, question 12.11	Has outstanding obligation/debt to HUD in arrears or with payment schedule pending	Total Points Possible: 5 No outstanding debt – ADD 5 pts. If Yes, documented payment schedule = 2 If Yes and no documented paymnet schedule then project is ineligible for funding

Section C: Project Performance (65 Points)			
Measurement	**Source**	**Data Calculations**	**Scoring Values**

PSH Housing Stability: % of persons who remain in any current PSH project or exited to a permanent housing destination managed by the applicant at the end of the last 12 month period	HUD CoC APR or Agency Data from Section 14	Percentage of the Total number of Retained Clients + Clients with Positive Exits out of the Total Non-Deceased Clients Served	Total Points Possible: 15 90% + = 15 pts 85% -89% = 11 pts 80% - 84% = 7pts 79%-75% = 3 pts < 70% or no data= 0 pts
RRH and TH Housing Stability: % of persons who exited any current RRH or TH project managed by the applicant to a positive housing destination over the last 12 month period	HUD CoC APR or Agency Data from Section 14	Total persons exiting to positive housing destinations. Numerator is the number exited to stable housing and the demonitor is total exits.	Total Points Possible: 15 90% + = 15 pts 85% -89% = 11 pts 80% - 84% = 7pts 79%-75% = 3 pts < 70%or no data = 0 pts
Exits to Homelessness: % of program exits to another homeless destination for any housing project managed by the applicant to a positive housing destination over the last 12 month period	HUD CoC APR or Agency Data from Section 14	Percentage of exits to place not meant for human habitation, emergency shelter, including hotel or motel paid for with emergency shelter voucher, safe haven or transitional housing (DV/HT agency will not be scored and will be held harmless due to potential impacts of abusers on project exits).	Total Points Possible: 15 5% or less = 15 pts 6% - 10% = 11 pts 11% - 15% = 7 pts 16% - 20% = 3 pts > 20% or no data= 0 pts
Length of Time to Housing: # of days from program enrollment to permanent housing	HMIS	Number of days from time households is assigned to project by CES to the move in date	Total Points Possible: 10 100 days or less = 10 pts 100.1 - 120 days = 8 pts 120.1 - 140 days = 6 pts 140.1 - 160 = 4 pts 160.1 - 180 = 2 pts <180 days = 0 pts
Increase Income and Ability to Live Independently	HUD CoC APR or Agency Data from Section 14	Project has increased income for projet leavers and stayers as reported in HMIS over the last year and project provides employment services and/or SOAR case management to assist clients to increase income.	Total Points Possible: 10 If Yes to both 10 pts.: If Yes to one 5 Pts If No 0 pts.
Utilization Rate: % of utilization reported on APR or other housing operated by the applicant	HUD CoC APR or Agency Data from Section 14	The utilization rate (% of units occupied) for applicant program.	Total Points Possible: 15 95%+ = 15 pts <95%-90% = 11 pts <90%-85% = 7 pts <85%-80% = 3 pts < 80% or no data= 0 pt.

Section D Serving Priority Populations (20 pts)			
Measurement	**Source**	**Data Calculations**	**Scoring Values**
Prioritization Based on Need	Project Application Section 8 - first 3 questions "Families with children/unaccompanied youth" "prioritization" and "Family Unit"	Commit to only accepting families with children and/or unaccompanied youth eligible for project type assigned through CES, prioritizing clients for assistance based on CoC-wide established criteria and will not deny any family assistance to the Project, or separate the members of the family, as a condition of entry.	Total Points Possible: 5 IF YES to all three then scores 5 pts. No to any will result in 0 pts.
Priority Population-Applicable Sub-Populations	Project Application Section 6, Target Population Chart	PSH: Either Chronically Homeless or DedicatedPLUS Families with Children and/or Chronically Homeless Veterans in addition to at least one of the following: Persons with Substance Abuse Disorders, Persons with Severe Mental Illnesses, Survivors of Domestic Violence. NOTE all Beds must be dedicated to chronically homeless persons or DedicatedPLUS RRH: Unaccompanied LGBTQ Youth, Youth Families with Children, Survivors of Domestic Violence/Victims of Human Trafficking TH or TH-RRH: DV or youth	Total Points Possible = 5 pts. If all selected populations have "yes" for all inclusive - 5 points For any "no" in all inclusive - 0 points unless explanation matches Housing First principles
Promotion of Racial Equity	Section 15	The degree to which the project reviewed program participant outcomes with an equity lens, has identified barriers to participation by persons of different races and ethnicities and has taken steps to eliminate the identified barriers including policies and processes to address racial disparities	Total Points Possible: 10 ADD 2 pts. For each question a, b, c, d and e in Section 15 answered yes and has fully described their answers.
Section E: HMIS Data Quality - 30 Points			
Measurement	**Report**	**Data Calculations**	**Scoring Values**
Project's Data Quality:	HUD CoC APR Verified by HMIS Lead	Includes data on entry of PII, disabling condition, timeliness of data entry, income data, and exit data	Total Points Possible: 25 95% + = 25 pts 89% to 94 % = 20 pts. 83% to 88 % = 15 pts 77% to 82 % = 10 pts 76% to 71% = 5 pts. Under 71% = 0 pts
HMIS Policies and Procedures	Project Application Section 9	Answers Yes to Commitment to Future HMIS Continuity, Current Inclusion in HMIS Bed/Services Inventory, Commitment to Future HMIS Bed/Services Inventory Continuity, Compliance with HMIS License and Training Requirements, Commitment to Ensure Participation in HMIS Annual Refresher Training and Commitment to Enter Universal Data Elements & Personal Identifying Information Into HMIS. DV providers commit to these standards using a comparable data base.	If YES to all Add 5 pts. NO to any application receives 0 pts.
Section F: Agency Commitment to COC Priorities - (35 points)			
Measurement	**Source**	**Data Calculations**	**Scoring Values**

		To what extent do the project's written policies and procedures ensure that participants are not screened out based on the following criteria?	
Alignment with Housing First Principles	Project Application Section 7-" Eligibility Chart and Termination Chart"	• Having too little or no income Failure to participate in supportive services (with exception for HUD-mandated monthly case management meeting for RRH program participants or after stably housed). • Active, or history of, substance use or a substance use disorder • Having a criminal record (with exceptions for state-mandated restrictions) • History or survivor of domestic violence	Total Points Possible: 15 Receive 1 pt. for each NO answer in either column for numbers 1-15. Subtract 1 pts for each Yes answer in the Termination Table for EITHER column for answers 1-6 UNLESS, in the reviewers opinion, a justifiable reason was given for the termination
Type of Unit and Total Units	Project Application Section 3 - "Number of Homeless Housing Units"	PSH: Add 1 pt. for first 10 units and .25 for each additional unit RRH: Add 1 pt. for first 10 units and .25 for each additional unit YTH: Add 1 pt. for first 10 units and .25 for each additional unit	Total Points Possible: 10
Coordinated Entry Process	Project Application Section 8 and Section 18	Answered YES to CES MOU and CES Continuity in Section 8. In answered question in Section 18 "Project Scope" Proposal describes how the project will comply with the COC's Coordinated Entry procedures and applicant demonstrates a understanding of the COC Coordinated Entry process.	Total Points Possible: 10 Yes to both CES MOU and CES Continuity = 5 pts Section 18: Project Narrative demonstrates knowledge of CES & project will accept referrals from CES = 5 pts Project narrative includes CES as referral for housing but not for services - 3 pts Project narrative suggests that some/all referrals will come from sources other than CES - Threshold

Section G - COC Participation (CoC Priority Part 2) (15 Points)			
Measurement	**Report**	**Data Calculations**	**Scoring Values**
COC Participation	PIT and HIC Involvement Section 9 verified by HSN	Will commit to participation in 2023 PIT Count & participated in 2022 PIT	Total Points Possible: 3 PIT Participation = 3 pts
COC Participation	COC membership participation Section 9 verified by HSN	**Sign Up Sheets (Virtual meeting logs) for CoC General Membership Participation**	Total Points Possible: 3 If attended = 2-3, Add 1 pts. If attended = 4-7, Add 2 pts. If attended = 8 or more, Add 3 pts.
COC Participation	COC committee participation Section 9 verified by HSN	**Sign Up Sheets (Virtual meeting logs) for CoC Committees Participation**	Total Points Possible: 3 If attended = 2-3, Add 1 pts. If attended = 4-7, Add 2 pts. If attended = 8 or more, Add 3 pts.

Direct Practice Skills Training (e.g., Motivational Interviewing, Trauma-Informed Care, DV & Human Trafficking)	Participation in Training Section 9 verified by HSN	**Sign Up Sheets (Virtual meeting logs) for CoC Sponsored Training**	Total Points Possible: 6 If 100% of direct practice & program supervisory staff having served more 6 months attended at least 1 training; get 6 pts If 75% to get 3 points.

Section H - Geographic Coverage and Responsiveness to Jurisdictional Priorities (6 Points)			
Measurement	**Report**	**Data Calculations**	**Scoring Values**
Service Coverage of Jurisdiction	Application must specify any and all Jurisdictions covered Section 5	**For each Jurisdiction Applicant Commits to Serve Clients from : ADD 1 points**	Total Points Possible: 6

Section I - Project Design - (71 Points)			
Measurement	**Report**	**Data Calculations**	**Scoring Values**
Project Budget	Project Application Section 13 budget charts; For housing projects - Section 3 - Number of HUD CoC Funded Units (qst 3.3) For Services Projects - "proposed New Staffing FTE", or items identified as HUD funded in "Other support services chart"	Does budget request match the number of housing units identified? Is the Budget reasonable? Does budget request match the number of FTEs identified ? (Contracts are currently capped at $65,000 per FTE including salary, benefits, mileage, and Supervision). Is the budget request supported by the responses to "Funding for Other Supportive Services" for which the applicant noted HUD funds would be sought?	Total Points Possible: 5 Awarded by scoring review scaled from 0 to 5
Design of Housing	Project Application Section 19 and Section 11 for All Housing	Housing where participants will reside is fully described and appropriate to the program design proposed. Sample factors for consideration: Does the type and time of subsidy make sense for the housing project (ex. RRH is time limited, maximum of 24 months)?; Is the housing accessible to community amenities such as grocery stores, pharmacy, schools, jobs and healthcare? (Section 11 for Project based); Do scattered site projects show how projects will be identified, that landlords will sign HAP agreements, and that tenants will sign leases?; If serving chronically homeleess persons, does the design require more than a small minority of chronically homeless people (singles, not families) to share units?	Total Points Possible: 5 Design is strong and demonstrates strong ability to operate a successful housing project - 5 pts Design is reasonable and demonstrates moderate ability to operate a successful housing project - 3 pts Design does not fit with eligible activities and/or demonstrates poor ability to operate a successful housing project - 0pts

Supportive Services Plan	Project Application Section 18 and Section 4, (Other Support Services Chart) and Section 4, (Current and New Staffing for Housing Stability Case Management)	Supportive Services plan includes provision of comprehensive case management and appropriate supportive services of the type, scale and location to meet the needs of program participants (as well as transportation if necessary), using a Housing First model. Sample criteria include: Is the project staffed appropriately and are staff trained to provide the services?; Is the program design to be accessible to all eligible clients?; Will the project use evidence-based practices including motivational interviewing, trauma informed care, etc?	Total Points Possible: 5 5 Points for strong soundness of design, experience and feasbility 3 points for reasonable design, experience and feasibility 0 points for poor design, experience, reasibility
Supportive Services Plan	Project Application Section 16	Percent of services delivered in the field	Total Points Possible: 5 If 80% or more award 5 pts. If 50% to 79% award 3 pts.
Project Implementation Timeline	Project Application Section 16, project timeline -	Proposed timeline for project implementation and occupancy is reasonable. Activities are described for 60 days, 90 days, 120 and 180 days after award. First client will be housed within 90 days of award and all clients will be housed within 180 days of award.	Total Points Possible: 3 Implementation, occupancy is reasonable; oversight is sufficient (ex. at least quarterly after implementation) - 3 points Implementation, occupancy is reasonable; oversight is insufficient (less than quarterly after implementation) - 1 pts Implementation & oversight are insufficient - 0
Access to Mainstream Benefits	Project Application Section 10	Applicant answered YES and described their approach to increasing access to mainstream benefits	If YES, ADD 3 pts
Access to Mainstream Benefits	Project Application Section 10	Applicant or project partner serves as DCF ACCESS community partner to ensure enrollment in mainstream benefits	. If YES, ADD 2 pts. If NO, but will perform same function, ADD 1 pts. If NO, will provide the service, 0 pts.
Access to Transportation	Project Application Section 10	Applicant or project partner has committed to provide transportation and has described the approach and level of transportation.	If YES, ADD 2 pts
Access to Mainstream Benefits	Project Application Section 10	Applicant or project partner has on staff a SOAR Specialist or will enter MOU with SOAR Provider	If YES, ADD 3 pts.
School Liaison	Project Application Section 10	Project partner has committed to have a designated staff person whose responsibilities include ensuring children are enrolled in school and receive appropriate services as required	If YES, ADD 2 pts

Cost Effectiveness	Project Application Section 3 (total beds) and Section 13 Total Income Budget	Project is cost effective Considered Elements: Cost per person served is comparable to COC average within project type. Divide Total Income by Total Beds. Compare with renewal rates	If YES, ADD 5 pts.
Client Satisfaction Surveys	Project Application Section 7	Award 5 points for a "Yes" response. If response is "No" then the project will score zero	If YES, ADD 2 pts
Experience with Housing First and Housing First Training	Project Application Section 18 (experience with housing first, housing first training)	Award up to 5 points if applicant adequately described their experience with HF and their commitment to HF training.	Total Points Possible: 5 Strong experience with housing first implementation and training - 5 pts; Reasonable experience with housing first implementaton and trianing - 3 pts; poor experience - 0 points Sample factors for consideration can include how many years involved with a housing first project, types of trainings attended/conducted, completion of housing first self-assessment tools, etc.
COVID-19	Section 15	The Project has implemented safety protocols to address the safety needs of individuals and families participating in current projects and has identified project eligible individuals and families experiencing homelessness for COVID-19 vaccination based on local protocol.	Total Points Possible: 5 If YES to both safety protocols and vaccinations and fully described each ADD 5 pts. If YES to 1 with a full description ADD 2pts. If NO = 0 pts
Housing Navigation and/or Housing Stability Case Management	Project Application Section 18,	Applicant adequately described their experience with similar or different populations to be served by the applicant and how project will implement Housing First philosophy.	Total Points Possible: 5 Agency already serving the same population in other projects - 5 pts Agency is not serving the same population but has clearly identified how it will assist staff to become competent in housing first implementation - 3 pts Agency's plan is vague and poorly defined - 0 pts
Trauma Informed Care	Project Application Section 18	Applicant adequately described their experience with Trauma Informed Care., question 17.8 Sample criteria for consideration include use of training, feedback from clients, integration into policies and procedures	Total Points Possible: 3 Agency plan is strong - 3 pts Agency plan is reasonable - 2 pts Agency plan is limited - 0 pts
Encouragement of Volunteerism and Employment by Program Participants	Project Application Section 18	Applicant adequately describes how project works to encourage volunteerism and or employment (including, supportive and mirco employment) among participants.	Agency plan is strong - 2 pts Agency plan is reasonable - 1 pts Agency plan is limited - 0 pts

Gender Inclusion/Non-Discrimination Policy	Section 15	Applicant ensures inclusion and non-discrimination based on equal access criteria, including ensuring privacy, respect, safety, and access regardless of gender identity or sexual orientation.	Total Pts = 3 If YES to both question j and k and fully described each ADD 5 pts. If YES to 1 with a full description ADD 2pts. If NO = 0 pts
Participation by population served	Project Application Section 7	Does the agency have a board of directors that includes representation from more than one person with lived experience? Written policies and procedures submitted by the project and narrative response demonstrating particpation by persons wiht lived expereince in program design and policy-making?	Total Points = 6 14g - 1 point If yes 14g-2: 1 point if yes 14h - 1 point if yes 14i and 14j: 1.5 points each if response demonstrates participation by persons with lived experience in meaningful manner (scored by Lived Experience Council)

Bonus Point Section (20 Points)			
Measurement	**Report**	**Data Calculations**	**Scoring Values**
New PSH or RRH project	TBD	New project applications created through reallocation or the CoC Bonus that	Total Points Possible: 20

282

Scoring Overview - DV Bonus			
As determined by the CoC Governance Board, community priority will be given to eligible projects in the following order: Rapid Rehousing (RRH) and Transitional Housing- Rapid Rehousing (TH-RRH). All projects will be scored utilizing the following materials included below under the headings of: Project Application Threshold, Financial Data, project Performance, Serviing Priority Populations, Committment to COC priorities, COC Participation, Geogrphic Coverage, Project Design, and Bonus.			
Section A: Project Application Threshold			
Eligibility Criteria	Yes	No	Scoring Values
1. Projects applicant and subrecepient are eligiable intities and project is in compliance with CoC Interim Rule and subsequent notices, including threshold requirements outlined in the 2022 Notice of Funding Opportunity			
2. Agreed to Participate in HMIS or if project is Domestic Violence or Human Trafficing project, must adhear with HMIS data and technical standards in a comprable database.			
3. Applicant has Active SAM registration with current information.This is required only if your agency will have a contract directly with HUD.			
4. Applicant has Valid DUNS number in application.This is required only if your agency will have a contract directly with HUD.			
5. Applicant has no Outstanding Delinquent Federal Debts- unless: (a) A negotiated repayment schedule is established and the repayment schedule is not delinquent, or (b) Other arrangements satisfactory to HUD are made before the award of funds by HUD.			
6. Applicant has no Debarments and/or Suspensions -or is proposed to be debarred or suspended from doing business with the Federal Government.			
7. Applicant has disclosed any violations of Federal criminal law - Applicants must disclose in a timely manner, in writing to HUD, all violations of Federal criminal law involving fraud, bribery, or gratuity violations potentially affecting the Federal award.			**If any response is 'No' project is not eligible for review unless the criteria is not applicable to project type.**
8. Applicant has demonstrated the population to be served meets program eligibility requirements.			
9. Applicant complies with HUD headquarter and field office directives. Applicant met HUD Expectations - When considering renewal projects for award.			

10. Applicant demonstrates fiscal and administrative capacity to expend, track and report on grant awards. Financial audit within previous 24 months of submission date.		
11. Projects will participate in and accept 100% of referrals from Coordinated Entry, when it is available for the project type.		
12. Applicant agrees to practice and montior fidelity to Housing First principles and be low barrier, and scored = or > than 10 on Housing First question Section 7 of Application.		
13. Project has documented the required matching funds		
14. Project is financially feasible		
15. Applicant is active CoC participant.		
16. Project has reasonable costs per program participant.		
17. Documented organizational financial stability, audit shows agency has no major findings. Financial audit completed within previous 24 months of submission date.		
18. Applicant has a Code of Conduct which complies with 2 CFR part 200		
19. Representation at Mandatory RFP Workshop		

Section B: Project Financial (20 Points)			
Measurement	**Source**	**Data Calculations**	**Scoring Values**
Financials	Audited Financials and 990 submitted as attachment	Review of Auditor's Report	Total Points Possible: 10 No Findings ADD 10pts. If there were minor findings or findings resolved ADD 5 pts. If findings are deemed "significant" then 0 pts.
Repay/Return Grant Funds	Project Application Section 12, question 12.5	Applicant Returned funds to HUD or other federal or state agency within 2 years.	Total Points Possible: 5 No funds returned – ADD 5 pts. If Yes, Explanation addresses all concerns = 3 Explanation addresses some concerns = 1 Explanation fails to address concerns = 0

HUD Unresolved Findings	Project Application Section 12, question 12.11	Has outstanding obligation/debt to HUD in arrears or with payment schedule pending	Total Points Possible: 5 No outstanding debt – ADD 5 pts. If Yes, documented payment schedule = 2 If Yes and no documented paymnet schedule then project is ineligible for funding

Section C: Project Performance (55 Points)			
Measurement	**Source**	**Data Calculations**	**Scoring Values**
RRH and TH Housing Stability: % of persons who exited any current RRH or TH project managed by the applicant to a positive housing destination over the last 12 month period	HUD CoC APR or Agency Data	Total persons exiting to positive housing destinations. Numerator is the number exited to stable housing and the demonitor is total exits.	Total Points Possible: 15 90% + = 15 pts 85% -89% = 11 pts 80% - 84% = 7pts 79%-75% = 3 pts < 70%or no data = 0 pts
Exits to Homelessness: % of program exits to another homeless destination for any housing project managed by the applicant to a positive housing destination over the last 12 month period	HUD CoC APR or Agency Data	Percentage of exits to place not meant for human habitation, emergency shelter, including hotel or motel paid for with emergency shelter voucher, safe haven or transitional housing	Total Points Possible: 15 5% or less = 15 pts 6% - 10% = 11 pts 11% - 15% = 7 pts 16% - 20% = 3 pts > 20% or no data= 0 pts

Measurement	Source	Data Calculations	Scoring Values
Length of Time to Housing: # of days from program enrollment to permanent housing	Comparable Data System or Agency Data	Number of days from time households is assigned to project by CES (or enrolled for non-CoC projects) to the move in date	Total Points Possible: 10 100 days or less = 10 pts 100.1 - 120 days = 8 pts 120.1 - 140 days = 6 pts 140.1 - 160 = 4 pts 160.1 - 180 = 2 pts <180 days = 0 pts
Increase Income and Ability to Live Independently	Project Application Section 4 - "Other supportive services chart", 5th row	Project has increased income for projet leavers and stayers as reported in HMIS over the last year and project provides employment services and/or SOAR case management to assist clients to increase income.	Total Points Possible: 13 If Yes to both 10 pts.: If Yes to one 5 Pts If No 0 pts.
Utilization Rate: % of utilization reported on APR or other housing operated by the applicant	HUD CoC APR (Section ?) Verified by HMIS Lead	Enter the utilization rate for applicant program as reported in HUD CoC APR	Total Points Possible: 15 95%+ = 15 pts <95%-90% = 11 pts <90%-85% = 7 pts <85%-80% = 3 pts < 80% or no data= 0 pt.
Section D Serving Priority Populations (20 pts)			
Measurement	**Source**	**Data Calculations**	**Scoring Values**
Prioritization Based on Need	Project Application Section 8 - first 3 questions "Families with children/unaccompanied youth" "prioritization" and "Family Unit"	Commit to accepting 100 percent of the participants that are or will be survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking.and will not deny any eligible family assistance to the Project, or separate the members of the family, as a condition of entry.	Total Points Possible: 5 IF YES to all three then scores 5 pts. No to any will result in 0 pts.

Priority Population- Applicable Sub-Populations	Project Application Section 6, Target Population Chart	Will serve all of the following eligible participants: Persons that are fleeing, or attempting to flee, domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, stalking, or other dangerous or life-threatening conditions that relate to violence against the individual or a family member, including a child, that has either taken place within the individual's or family's primary nighttime residence or has made the individual or family afraid to return to their primary nighttime residence; (ii) Has no other residence; and (iii) Lacks the resources or support networks, e.g., family, friends, and faith-based or other social networks, to obtain other permanent housing.	Total Points Possible = 5 pts. If all selected populations have "yes" for all inclusive - 5 points For any "no" in all inclusive - 0 points unless explanation matches Housing First principles
Promotion of Racial Equity	Section 15	The degree to which the project reviewed program participant outcomes with an equity lens, has identified barriers to participation by persons of different races and ethnicities and has taken steps to eliminate the identified barriers including policies and processes to address racial disparities	Total Points Possible: 10 ADD 2 pts. For each question a, b, c, d and e in Section 15 answered yes and has fully described their answers.

Section E: HMIS Data Quality - 30 Points

Measurement	Report	Data Calculations	Scoring Values
Project's Data Quality:	HUD CoC APR Verified by HMIS Lead or APR Comparable system	Includes data on entry of PII, disabling condition, timeliness of data entry, income data, and exit data	Total Points Possible: 25 95% + = 25 pts 89% to 94 % = 20 pts. 83% to 88 % = 15 pts
Data Quality Policies and Procedures	Project Application Section 9	Answers Yes to Commitment to Future Data Quality using a comparable data system for Inclusion in Bed/Services Inventory, Commitment to Enter Universal Data Elements & Personal Identifying Information Into Comparable Database that adheres to HMIS data standards.	If YES to all Add 5 pts. NO to any application receives 0 pts.

Section F: Agency Commitment to COC Priorities - (CoC Priority Part 1) (35 points)

Measurement	Source	Data Calculations	Scoring Values

Measurement	Report	Data Calculations	Scoring Values
Alignment with Housing First Principles	Project Application Section 7-" Eligibility Chart and Termination Chart"	**To what extent do the project's written policies and procedures ensure that participants are not screened out based on the following criteria?** • Having too little or no income • Failure to participate in supportive services (with exception for HUD-mandated monthly case management meeting for RRH program participants or after stably housed). • Active, or history of, substance use or a substance use disorder • Having a criminal record (with exceptions for state-mandated restrictions) • History or survivor of domestic violence	Total Points Possible: 15 Receive 1 pt. for each NO answer in either column for numbers 1-15. Subtract 1 pts for each Yes answer in the Termination Table for EITHER column for answers 1-6 UNLESS, in the reviewers opinion, a justifiable reason was given for the termination
Type of Unit and Total Units	Project Application Section 3 - "Number of Homeless Housing Units"	PSH: Add 1 pt. for first 10 units and .25 for each additional unit RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH: Add 1 pt. for first 10 units and .25 for each additional unit	Total Points Possible: 10
Coordinated Entry Process	Project Application Section 8 and Section 18	Answered YES to CES MOU and CES Continuity in Section 8. In answered question in Section 18 "Project Scope" Proposal describes how the project will comply with the COC's Coordinated Entry procedures and applicant demonstrates a understanding of the COC Coordinated Entry process.	Total Points Possible: 10 Yes to both CES MOU and CES Continuity = 5 points Section 18: Project Narrative demonstrates knowledge of CES and that project will accept referrals from CES - 5 pts Project narrative includes CES as referral for housing but not for services - 3 pts Project narrative suggests that some/all referrals will come from sources other than CES - 0 points
Section G - COC Participation (CoC Priority Part 2) (15 Points)			
Measurement	**Report**	**Data Calculations**	**Scoring Values**

Measurement	Report	Data Calculations	Scoring Values
COC Participation	PIT and HIC Involvement Section 9 verified by HSN	Will commit to participation in 2023 PIT Count & participated in 2022 PIT	Total Points Possible: 3 PIT Participation = 3 pts
COC Participation	COC membership participation Section 9 verified by HSN	**Sign Up Sheets (Virtual meeting logs) for CoC General Membership Participation**	Total Points Possible: 3 If attended = 2-3, Add 1 pts. If attended = 4-7, Add 2 pts. If attended = 8 or more, Add 3 pts.
COC Participation	COC committee participation Section 9 verified by HSN	**Sign Up Sheets (Virtual meeting logs) for CoC Committees Participation**	Total Points Possible: 3 If attended = 2-3, Add 1 pts. If attended = 4-7, Add 2 pts. If attended = 8 or more, Add 3 pts.
Direct Practice Skills Training (e.g., Motivational Interviewing, Trauma-Informed Care, DV & Human Trafficking)	Participation in Training Section 9 verified by HSN	**Sign Up Sheets (Virtual meeting logs) for CoC Sponsored Training**	Total Points Possible: 6 If 100% of direct practice & program supervisory staff having served more 6 months attended at least 1 training get 6 pts. If 75% to get 3 points.

Section H - Geographic Coverage and Responsiveness to Jurisdictional Priorities (6 Points)			
Measurement	**Report**	**Data Calculations**	**Scoring Values**
Service Coverage of Jurisdiction	Application must specify any and all Jurisdictions covered Section 5	**For each Jurisdiction Applicant Commits to Serve Clients from : ADD 1 points**	Total Points Possible: 6

Section I - Project Design - (71 Points)			
Measurement	**Report**	**Data Calculations**	**Scoring Values**

Project Budget	Project Application Section 13 budget charts; For housing projects - Section 3 - Number of HUD CoC Funded Units (qst 3.3) For Services Projects - "proposed New Staffing FTE", or items identified as HUD funded in "Other support services chart"	Does budget request match the number of housing units identified? Is the Budget reasonable? Does budget request match the number of FTEs identified ? (Contracts are currently capped at $65,000 per FTE including salary, benefits, mileage, and Supervision) Is the budget request supported by the responses to "Funding for Other Supportive Services" for which the applicant noted HUD funds would be sought?	Total Points Possible: 5 Awarded by scoring review scaled from 0 to 5
Design of Housing	Project Application Section 19	Housing where participants will reside is fully described and appropriate to the program design proposed. Does the narrative identify how safety factors will be built into housing election; Is the housing accessible to community amenities such as grocery stores, pharmacy, schools, jobs and healthcare?; Does the narrative describe how units will be identified, how landlords will sign HAP agreements, and that tenants will sign leases?	Total Points Possible: 5 Design is strong and demonstrates strong ability to operate a successful housing project - 5 pts Design is reasonable and demonstrates moderate ability to operate a successful housing project - 3 pts Design does not fit with eligible activities and/or demonstrates poor ability to operate a successful housing project - 0pts
Supportive Services Plan	Project Application Section 18 and Section 4, (Other Support Services Chart) and Section 4, (Current and New Staffing for Housing Stability Case Management)	Supportive Services plan includes provision of comprehensive case management and appropriate supportive services of the type, scale and location to meet the needs of program participants (as well as transportation if necessary), using a Housing First model. Sample criteria include: Is the project staffed appropriately and are staff trained to provide the services?; Is the program design to be accessible to all eligible clients?; Will the project use evidence-based practices including motivational interviewing, trauma informed care, etc? Does the program describe a safety plan fro participants?	Total Points Possible: 5 5 Points for strong soundness of design, experience and feasbility 3 points for reasonable design, experience and feasibility 0 points for poor design, experience, reasibility

Supportive Services Plan	Project Application Section 16	Percent of services delivered in the field	Total Points Possible: 5 If 80% or more award 5 pts. : If 50% to 79% award 3 pts.
Project Implementation Timeline	Project Application Section 16, project timeline -	Proposed timeline for project implementation and occupancy is reasonable. Activities are described for 60 days, 90 days, 120 and 180 days after award. First client will be housed within 90 days of award and all clients will be housed within 180 days of award.	Total Points Possible: 3 Implementation, occupancy is reasonable; oversight is sufficient (ex. at least quarterly after implementation) - 3 points Implementation, occupancy is reasonable; oversight is insufficient (less than quarterly after implementation) - 1 pts Implementation & oversight are insufficient - 0
Access to Mainstream Benefits	Project Application Section 10	Applicant answered YES and described their approach to increasing access to mainstream benefits	Total Points Possible: 3 Implementation, occupancy is reasonable; oversight is sufficient (ex. at least quarterly after implementation) - 3 points Implementation, occupancy is reasonable; oversight is insufficient (less than quarterly after implementation) - 1 pts Implementation & oversight are insufficient - 0
Access to Mainstream Benefits	Project Application Section 10	Applicant or project partner serves as DCF ACCESS community partner to ensure enrollment in mainstream benefits	. If YES, ADD 2 pts. If NO, but will perform same function, ADD 1 pts. If NO, will provide the service, 0 pts.

			.
Access to Transportation	Project Application Section 10	Applicant or project partner has committed to provide transportation and has described the approach and level of transportation.	If YES, ADD 2 pts. If NO, but will perform same function, ADD 1 pts. If NO, will provide the service, 0 pts.
Access to Mainstream Benefits	Project Application Section 10	Applicant or project partner has on staff a SOAR Specialist or will enter MOU with SOAR Provider	If YES, ADD 3 pts.
School Liaison	Project Application Section 10	Project partner has committed to have a designated staff person whose responsibilities include ensuring children are enrolled in school and receive appropriate services as required	If YES, ADD 2 pts
Cost Effectiveness	Project Application Section 3 (total beds) and Section 13 Total Income Budget	Project is cost effective Considered Elements: Cost per person served is comparable to COC average within project type. Divide Total Income by Total Beds. Compare with renewal rates	If YES, ADD 5 pts.
Client Satisfaction Surveys	Project Application Section 7	Award 5 points for a "Yes" response. If response is "No" then the project will score zero	If YES, ADD 2 pts

Experience with Housing First and Housing First Training	Project Application Section 18 (experience with housing first, housing first training)	Award up to 5 points if applicant adequately described their experience with HF and their commitment to HF training.	Total Points Possible: 5 Strong experience with housing first implementation and training - 5 pts; Reasonable experience with housing first implementaton and trianing - 3 pts; poor experience - 0 points Sample factors for consideration can include how many years involved with a housing first project, types of trainings attended/conducted, completion of housing first self-assessment tools, etc.
COVID-19	Section 15	The Project has implemented safety protocols to address the safety needs of individuals and families participating in current projects and has identified project eligible individuals and families experiencing homelessness for COVID-19 vaccination based on local protocol.	Total Points Possible: 5 If YES to both safety protocols and vaccinations and fully described each ADD 5 pts. If YES to 1 with a full description ADD 2pts. If NO = 0 pts
Housing Navigation and/or Housing Stability Case Management	Project Application Section 18,	Award up to 5 points if applicant adequately described their experience with similar or different populations to be served by the applicant and how project will implement Housing First philosophy.	Total Points Possible: 5 Agency already serving the same population in other projects - 5 pts Agency is not serving the same population but has clearly identified how it will assist staff to become competent in housing first implementation - 3 pts Agency's plan is vague and poorly defined - 0 pts

Measurement	Report	Data Calculations	Scoring Values
Trauma Informed Care	Project Application Section 18	Award up to 5 points if applicant adequately described their experience with Trauma Informed Care., question 17.8 Sample criteria for consideration include use of training, feedback from clients, integration into policies and procedures	Total Points Possible: 3 Agency plan is strong - 3 pts Agency plan is reasonable - 2 pts Agency plan is limited - 0 pts
Encouragement of Volunteerism and Employment by Program Participants	Project Application Section 18	Award up to 5 points in applicant adequately describes how project works to encourage volunteerism and or employment (including, supportive and mirco employment) among participants.	Agency plan is strong - 2 pts Agency plan is reasonable - 1 pts Agency plan is limited - 0 pts
Gender Inclusion/Non-Discrimination Policy	Section 15	Applicant ensures inclusion and non-discrimination based on equal access criteria, including ensuring privacy, respect, safety, and access regardless of gender identity or sexual orientation.	Total Pts = 3 If YES to both question j and k and fully described each ADD 5 pts. If YES to 1 with a full description ADD 2pts. If NO = 0 pts
Participation by population served	Project Application Section 7	Does the agency have a board of directors that includes representation from more than one person with lived experience? Written policies and procedures submitted by the project and narrative response demonstrating particpation by persons wiht lived expereince in program design and policy-making?	Total Points = 6 14g - 1 point If yes 14g-2: 1 point if yes 14h - 1 point if yes 14i and 14j: 1.5 points each if response demonstrates participation by persons with lived experience in meaningful manner (scored by Lived Experience Council)
Section J (20 Points)			
Measurement	**Report**	**Data Calculations**	**Scoring Values**

		Applicant demonstrates previous performance of the applicant in serving survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking, and their ability to house survivors and meet safety outcomes.Applicant has an Emergency Transfer Plan. Applicant describes their use of a victim centered approach. Applicant will rapidly move persons into permanent housing.	Total Points Possible: 10 Agency performance is strong - 10 pts Agency performance is reasonable - 5 pts Agency performance is limited - 0 pts
Experience Narrative	Section 18		
Demonstrating Improved Safety Planning	Section 18	Applicant demonstrates it maintains data and how it reports objective improvement in safety for victims of domestic violence and human trafficking. Applicant provides examples of how they use their HMIS comparable database to assess the special needs of DV/HT project participants.Applicant describes Agency safety plans for participants.	Total Points Possible: 10 Agency performance is strong - 10 pts Agency performance is reasonable - 5 pts Agency performance is limited - 0 pts

Total 282