Attachment B

Central Florida Continuum of Care (CoC FL-507)

Steps in the Review, Ranking and Selection of Projects for Inclusion in the 2021 Submission to HUD

1. Development of the Application Scoring by the CoC Resource Allocation and Coordination Committee, with the Input of CoC Stakeholders

All eligible applications will be reviewed using a Scoring Categories approved by the CoC Board (September 2021). The Scoring Categories lists and defines all of the scoring categories that reviewers will use in evaluating applications, as well as specifies the maximum number of points that an application can earn in each category. The elements of the Application and Scoring were developed with input from CoC FL-507 stakeholders across the region, the CoC FL-507 Technical Expertise Committee, and the CoC FL-507 Lived Experience Council.

The categories, point assignments and weights included in the Scoring process incorporate the input received the broad CoC FL-507 membership. It is important to note, however, that a number of the factors considered and the weights they are assigned are a function of the priorities and policies of HUD and the U.S Interagency Council on Homelessness. Specific steps were taken to address factors uniquely or disproportionately impacting CoC participation by victim service providers and to hold them harmless with respect to those factors.

2. Scoring of Each Application Based on Multiple Independent Reviews as well as Evaluation of Performance and Administrative Data

Each of the sub-scores in the major scoring categories will be based on a combination of scoring by trained and qualified reviewers (including key CoC FL-507 stakeholders such as victim service providers, jurisdictional representatives, individuals who have experienced homelessness, etc.) as well as administrative and external data, as described in the Application Scorecard. Each reviewer will evaluate the application independently. Reviewers will be randomly assigned applications, except that steps will be taken to minimize the potential for reviewer bias on the basis of population served, service provided, or geography represented. Performance and administrative data will be generated using HMIS reporting tools and other CoC records (meeting attendance, PIT participation, etc.). All scores will be compiled and submitted to the CoC FL-507 Community Ranking and Selection Committee, together with additional information about the proposed projects, HUD's priorities and other relevant factors.

3. Development of Recommendations by the Community Ranking and Selection Committee

The Community Ranking and Selection Committee, a group of independent community representatives from across the region, will review the compiled scores for all of the applications, along with other relevant information, such as geographic coverage as well as the priorities and goals identified by CoC FL-507 and HUD. The Community Ranking and Selection Committee process will collectively develop a recommended slate of funded projects and amounts for consideration by the CoC FL-507 Board.

4. Scoring Categories

Category	Measurement/Data	Renewal Points	New/ Expansion Points	DV Bonus
Financial	Audit	10	10	10
	Unspent HUD funds	10		
	Returned funds for any federal program	5	5	5
	Unresolved findings from HUD monitoring	5	5	5
Project Performance	Housing Stability	10	15	15
	Exits to Homelessness (for non DV bonus projects, DV/HT will not be scored and held harmless due to potential impacts of abusers on project exits)	10	15	15
	Increase in income	10	10	12
	Utilization Rate	10	13	10
Priority Populations	Prioritization based on need, including accepting families		5	10
	% of placements from unsheltered persons	10		
	Serve all eligible prioritized subpopulations		5	5
	Promote Race Equity – reviewing program outcomes with equity lens, identified barriers and taken steps to eliminate barriers	10	10	10
HMIS (Comparable system) Data Quality	Data quality report from HMIS, agree to data quality policies and procedures	30	30	30
CoC Priorities	Alignment with Housing First including screening out prior to entry and reasons for termination from a project	15	15	15
	Type and total number of units	10	10	10
	Filing of APRs	5		
	Coordinated Entry – CES MOU, procedures		10	10
	PIT/HIC participation	5	3	3
	General membership participation	5	3	3
	Committee participation	5	3	3
	CoC Sponsored Training participation	10	6	3
Geographic Coverage	Number of jurisdictions served	6	6	6
Project Design	Is budget reasonable, does it match narrative and staffing charts, is it accurate		5	5
	Does housing design match program participant needs, accessibility, demonstrate housing lease up process		5	5
	Comprehensive supportive services plan		5	5
	Approach for access to mainstream benefits, including serving as DCF Access community partner	5	3	6
	Transportation services	5	2	2

	SOAR specialist on staff or enter into MOU	5	5	2
	with SOAR provider			
	Project has a liaison to local school district	5	2	5
	Homeless Liaison			
	Cost effectiveness per person served	5	5	5
	Uses Client satisfaction survey	5	2	2
	Experience with Housing First & Housing First		5	5
	Training			
	COLVID 19 safety protocols	5	5	5
	Case Management with Housing First		5	5
	principles			
	Experience with Trauma Informed Care		3	3
	Encouragement of Volunteerism and Employment		2	2
	Gender Inclusion/Non Discrimination	5	3	3
	Participation by population served with design	5	3	3
	and policy making, more than one person with			
	lived experience on board of directors,			
DV Experience	Demonstrates previous performance,			10
	emergency transfer plans, victim centered			
	approach, rapidly move into permanent			
	housing after ensuring safety			
	Demonstrate improved safety planning,			10
	reports objective improvement in safety, use			
	of HMIS comparable data system to assess			
	special needs of the DV population, safety			
	plan			
Leveraged Housing &	Documents commitment of nonCoC/ESG		20	
HealthCare	housing assistance for PSH and commitment			
	of leveraged healthcare resources to support			
	project participants			

The variance in total points available for Renewal and New/Bonus projects will be normalized for the purposes of ranking.

***For DV Bonus:** Projects seeking DV Bonus funding must first score a base score based on the scoring categories above for selection as a new project. Should more than one DV Bonus project be submitted and selected as a new project based on base score, additional points based on answers related to questions specific to DV services (not answered by non-DV projects) will be incorporated into the final scoring for ranking purposes within the DV Bonus prioritization.

5. Approval of Final Slate of Projects and Funding Amounts

The CoC FL-507 Board, the governing body for the Central Florida Continuum of Care, will approve a final slate of projects and funding amounts for inclusion in the Central Florida CoC's application to HUD.